

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-170-2024

Date of decision: 21.03.2024

BUDHU RAM @ RAJVEER @ BUDHU

....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

2.

CRM-M-14198-2024

BALVIR RAM

....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Satnam Singh Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raghav Garg, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anshul Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-170-2024 &
CRM-M-14198-2024.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Mr. Anshul Sharma, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.3 in both the cases, and has filed his memo of appearance, which is taken on record.

2. On 08.01.2024, the following order was passed:-

“Through the instant petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.141, dated 26.04.2023, under Sections 420 and 120-B of IPC and under Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014, registered at Police Station City Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner draws

attention of this Court towards the FIR No.60, dated 29.09.2023, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of IPC and under Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014, which was registered at Police Station Begowal, District Kapurthala, at the behest of the victims, against the present complainant, and he further submits that, to get rid of that FIR, the complainant got registered the present FIR. He further submits that he has arrayed the victims as a party, who can help establishing the case of the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and waives service. Adjourned to 27.02.2024.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.PC.

3. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by HC Deepak Kumar, submits that the petitioners have already joined the investigation and they are fully co-operating with the investigation process and they are not required for any further custodial investigation.
4. In view of the specific stand taken by the learned State counsel, the present petition is **allowed** and order dated 08.01.2024 is, hereby, made absolute.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

21.03.2024
Anu

- i)

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No
- ii)

Whether reportable?

Yes/No