

[215] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-48-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 14.02.2024

Jagsir Singh @ Jagseer Singh and others ...Petitioners
versus
State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present : Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Status report by way of affidavit dated 14.02.2024 of Shri Satnam Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, on behalf of the respondent No.1-State has been filed in Court today. The same is taken on record.

[2] On 05.01.2024, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., all the petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.201 dated 12.12.2023, under Sections 307, 323, 324, 148 and 149 of IPC, besides Sections 25 & 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sadar Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn attention towards the contents of the FIR, so as to argue that the occurrence took place in two parts. In the earlier part, only Gurpreet Singh, Rajwinder Singh and Iqbal Singh (petitioner No.3) are alleged to have stopped the car of the complainant and abused him for no reason, but the complainant went away. But in the second part of the occurrence, it is shown that petitioner No.1-Jagsir Singh @ Jagseer Singh and petitioner No.2-Jaswinder Singh along with others waylaid the complainant and caused injuries to him. The only role attributed to Jagsir Singh and Jaswinder Singh is to have given kick and fist blows to the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that it is a case of version and cross version, inasmuch as two members of the accused party also sustained injuries. A DDR (Annexure P-2) was also recorded in this regard.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A copy of paper book be supplied to her during the course of day.

Learned State counsel concedes the fact that it is a case of version and cross version. Learned State counsel also concedes the fact regarding the role attributed to the three petitioners.

Adjourned to 14.02.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, petitioners are directed to join investigation and co-operate in the same. They will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court nor shall make any attempt to contact the complainant of the case or any witness associated with the case. In the event of the arrest of the petitioners, they shall be released on interim bail on their furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. They shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[3] Today, learned State Counsel, has informed this Court that the petitioners have joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 05.01.2024 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[4] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 05.01.2024, whereby the petitioners were granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, they shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[5] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

14.02.2024