

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.01.2024

RAJESH KUMAR

....PETITIONER

Vs.

BHAKRA BEAS MANAGEMENT BOARD AND ORS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sudhanshu Makkar, Advocate
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 15.12.2023 (Annexure P-4) whereby petitioner has been repatriated to his parent department i.e. Dakshin Haryana Bidyut Vitran Nigam Limited (DHBVNL).
2. The petitioner vide order dated 15.12.2023 has been repatriated from the office of Superintending Engineer, BBMB Nangal to DHBVNL.
3. Counsel for the respondents submits that petitioner on the earlier occasion was also repatriated and he was charge-sheeted. The petitioner has been repatriated on account of administrative/disciplinary reasons.
4. On being confronted, Mr. Sudhanshu Makkar, Advocate conceded that no reason in the impugned order has been assigned and he submits that the Competent Authority would pass a speaking order within 3 weeks from today after granting opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

5. In the wake of statement of Mr. Saudhanshu Makkar, the instant petition stands disposed of.
6. It is made clear that petitioner would not seek adjournment and shall appear before the authorities as and when called for. The intervening period would be dealt with in accordance with law.

24.01.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No