

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29-2024
Date of decision: 02.05.2024

INDERPAL SINGH

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Petitioner

....Respondent

Versus

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Aman Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C, the

petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
281	10.12.2023	336 IPC 25 & 27 of Arms Act	Bhikhi, District Mansa

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance

to the order dated 04.01.2024, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
3. During the course of hearing on 04.01.2024, following order

had been passed: -

“The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.281 dated 10.12.2023, Police Station Bhikhi, District Mansa, under Section 336 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act.

The FIR was lodged on the basis of secret information to the effect that the petitioner, during a wedding function, had fired in the air from his pistol leading to

endangering of lives of the other persons who were attending the marriage.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR in question has been lodged belatedly after about 3 days of the occurrence and is based on secret information without there being a definite evidence against the petitioner. It has further been submitted that the weapon in question is a licenced weapon and has already been handed over to the police and that in these circumstances there is no case for any kind of custodial interrogation particularly when the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

Notice of motion for 02.05.2024.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

4. Learned State counsel on instructions informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 04.01.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 04.01.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will

influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.
7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

02.05.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |