

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

**FRIDAY ,THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF APRIL TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY
FOUR**

**:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL**

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 3528 OF 2018

Revision filed under Sections 397 and 401 of Cr.P.C., aggrieved by the order dated 03.05.2018 in M.C.No.56 of 2015 on the file of the Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge For The Trial of Jubilee Hills Car Bomb Blast Case-Cum Addl. Family Court-Cum-XXIII Addl. Chief Judge-Cum IX Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad.

Between:

P.M.Satya Prasad, S/o P.S.Murthy, aged 42 years, Occ. Project Director, Unison Consulting Pvt.Ltd., 8, Eu Tong Sen Street, H.No.14-94, The Central Singapore.

.... Petitioner/Respondent

AND

1. P.Srivani, W/o P.M.Satya Prasad, aged 38 years, Occ. House wife, R/o H.No.8-2-310/A/10/B, Ibrahim Nagar, Road No.10, I.A.S. Colony, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.
2. P.Gagan Datta, S/o P.M.Satya Prasad, aged 9 years, Rep. by natural guardian and Mother P.Sravni.
3. The State of Telangana, Rep. by Public Prosecutor at Hyderabad.

..... Respondents/Petitioners

IA NO: 2 OF 2018

Petition under Section 482 CrPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay the order dated 03.05.2018 in M.C.No.56 of 2015 passed by the Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge For The Trial of Jubilee Hills Car Bomb Blast Case Cum Addl. Family Court Cum XXIII Addl. Chief Judge, Cum IX Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad pending disposal of the CrIRC.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri K.R.K.V. Prasad.
Counsel for the Respondent : Chekuri Yadagiri --NA—
Counsel for the Respondent 3 : Asst. Public Prosecutor.**

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E. V. VENUGOPAL

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.3528 OF 2018

ORDER:

This Criminal Revision Case is filed by the petitioner herein aggrieved by the order in M.C.No.56 of 2015, dated 03.05.2018 passed by the learned Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge for the Trial of Jubilee Hills Car Bomb Blast Cases-cum-Additional Family Court-cum-XXIII Additional Chief Judge-cum-Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad (for short, "the trial Court").

2. Heard Ms.Ramya, learned counsel representing on behalf of the revision petitioner as well as respondent.

3. Heard Sri Vizarith Ali, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent No.1-State. Perused the record.

4. The brief facts of the case are that the marriage of the petitioner and respondent No.1 was performed on 12.04.1998 at Gurajada Kalakshetram, Kalyana Mandapam, Vishakapatnam.

That on the demand made by the petitioner and his family

members the father of the respondent No.1 gave a cash of Rs.1,00,000/-, 2 tulas of gold ring, jewellery worth of Rs.1,50,000/- to the respondent No.1 and performed the marriage by spending Rs.4,00,000/- that out of the wedlock the respondent No.1 was blessed with two male children on 02.03.1999 and 07.12.2005, that the first child Sai Kiran was born at Hyderabad and the second child was born in Singapore, that the respondent No.1 has suffered mental and physical torture at the hands of the petitioner in Singapore from 2001 to December, 2013 that he had utilized the Stree Dhanam of Rs.3,50,000/- of the respondent No.1 for his personal needs and during the stay at in laws house at Mehdiapatnam, the respondent No.1 and petitioner never allowed to go out even for a movie and she was never allowed to spend leisure time with her husband and her father in law always used to shout against her. In the early months of the year 2000 on one day, when the respondent No.1 contradicted a point in a general discussion, the father in law of the respondent No.1 suddenly took a huge wooden log and threatened the respondent No.1 that he would kill her and abused her in filthy and provocative language.

5. The respondent along with his parents and his paternal uncle Mr.P.V.Subba Rao subjected her to cruelty and attacked the respondent No.1 during June, 2013 and necked her out from the matrimonial home. In June, 2013 the respondent No.1 and the petitioner came along with their children to India on pilgrimage and during their stay, the petitioner and his parents tried to snatch away the locker key of the respondent No.1 on that a dispute arose between them. Then, the father of the petitioner called the paternal uncle P.V.Subha Rao, who assaulted the respondent No.1 and subjected her to cruelty and finally they all necked her out of the house and that after much persuasion made by the father of the respondent No.1, the petitioner and his parents have accepted the respondent No.1 to stay with the petitioner at Singapore. Accordingly, the respondent No.1 went to Singapore on 22.12.2023 on being born the expenses by her father. After completion of 10th class exams during March, 2014, the petitioner promised the respondent No.1 to come back to India in May, 2014, but the respondent in keeping up the said assurance played fraud on her. Since March, 2014, the petitioner not only neglected the respondent

No.1, but also willfully failed to discharge his duties as husband and as father of the children. The respondent No.1 and her children are forced to stay at her parent's house that with great difficulty, the respondent No.1 brought her elder son Sai Kiran admitted in to the Geetanjali Public School, Begumpet and also got admission of her younger son in Delhi School of Excellence, Banjara Hills. The respondent No.1 has no independent sources of income. The elder son Sai Kiran intended to stay with the respondent at Singapore due to his health conditions as the Indian atmosphere was not suiting to his health that he was frequently suffering from health problems. The petitioner had agreed to take back the elder son to Singapore. The respondent No.1 has no means to maintain herself and respondent No.2 and requires Rs.1,50,000/- per month towards their maintenance.

6. The trial Court *vide* order dated 03.05.2018 in M.C.No.56 of 2015 has allowed the M.C. granting maintenance of a sum of Rs.25,000/- per month to respondent No.1 and Rs.15,000/- to respondent No.2 on or before 05th of every succeeding month.

7. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent-State would submit that the learned trial Court after appreciating the material facts before it has passed the order. Therefore, interference of this Court at this stage is unwarranted. Hence seeks to dismiss the present criminal revision case.

8. Recording the submissions made by the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor and upon perusing the entire material available on record, I do not find any reason to interfere with the well reasoned order passed by the Court below. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present Criminal Revision Case.

9. Accordingly, the Criminal Revision Case is dismissed.

Miscellaneous Petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.


Sd/- M. RAMANA KRISHNA
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge for the Trial of Jubilee Hills Car Bomb Blas case-Cum-Addl. Family Court-Cum-XXIII Addl. Chief Judge-Cum-IX Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad.
2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, at Hyderabad. (OUT)
3. One CC to SRI. K R K V PRASAD Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to SRI. CHEKURI YADAGIRI Advocate [OPUC]
5. TWO CD copies.


gh


HIGH COURT

DATED:26/04/2024

ORDER

CRLRC.No.3528 of 2018



DISMISSING THE CRLRC

⑦
15/06/24
Drs