

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

THURSDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 10339 OF 2015

Between:

E.R.K. Valliswar, S/o. E N Sastry, Aged 34 Yrs, Occ. Business C/o. 501,
Swathi Apartments Block B, 5th Floor, Saleemnagar Colony, Malakpet,
Hyderahad

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telanaana, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Home Department,
Secretariat Bldgs, Saifabad, Hyderabad
2. The Station House Officer, P S Osmaniya University, Hyderabad
3. M Simhachalam Naidu, S/o: Late M Pentan Naidu, Aged about 46 Yrs,
Occ. Business R/o. Hat No. 304, Prime Castle Apartments, FZavindra Nagar
Colony, Habsuguda, Hyderabad

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ. of mandamus declaring the inaction of the 2nd respondent in arresting and investigating into the offences under Sec. 406, 420 r/w. 156(3) Cr PC registered under FIR No.248/2014 as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to law and consequently direct the respondent No. 2 to arrest the 3rd respondent and take further action in pursuance of FIR No. 24R/2014.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2015(WPMP. NO: 13641 OF 2015)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct

the 2nd respondent to arrest the 3rd respondent and investigate into the offence in FIR in Crime No. 248/14 pending disposal of WP

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI VENUGOPALA RAO PASNOORU

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2: GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent No.3:--

The Court made the following: ORDER

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B. VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.10339 OF 2015

ORDER : (ORAL)

When the matter was listed on 05.12.2024, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and the matter was directed to be listed on 11.12.2024 under the caption 'For dismissal'. Even today, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner despite the matter being listed under the caption 'For dismissal'.

2. In the circumstances, the writ petition is dismissed for default. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in the writ petition stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

**SD/- K.SREERAMA MURTHY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri Venugopala Rao Pasnooru, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Home, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies

**T J
BSR**

Kp.

HIGH COURT

DATED:12/12/2024



ORDER

WP.No.10339 of 2015

DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION FOR DEFAULT
WITHOUT COSTS

⑥

for
24/1/25