HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. BHASKAR REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 36693 OF 2024

Between:

Mr. Manish Kumar, S/o. Late Mahavir Pershad, Aged about 54 years, Occ: Business, R/o. H. No. 21- 7- 110, Mama Jamila Devdi, Hyderabad

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat Building, Hyderabad
2. The Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Rajendarnagar Division, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana
4. The Tahsildar, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or a direction, particularly one in the nature of a writ of mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents in not considering the representation dated 12. 07. 2024 and in not restoring the name of the Petitioner and his family members in the revenue records as per the orders of this Hon'ble Court in W. P. Nos. 24506 of 2008, 24525 and 28272 of 2008 and in W. A. Nos. 408, 439, 442, 542, 543, 623 and 649 of 2011 as illegal, arbitrary, and unconstitutional and consequently direct the Respondents to restore the names of the Petitioner and his family members/predecessors as reflected in the revenue records prior to the order dated 22. 05. 2007 passed by the Respondent No. 3.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents to consider the representation dated 12. 07. 2024 of the Petitioner forthwith.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI CHALLA APOORVA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.BHASKAR REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.36693 of 2024

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed with the following relief:-

> *"...to issue a writ, order or a direction, particularly one in the nature of a writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the representation dated 12.07.2024 and in not restoring the name of the petitioner and his family members in the revenue records as per the orders of this Hon'ble Court in W.P.Nos.24506 of 2008, 24525 and 28272 of 2008 and in W.A.Nos.408, 439, 442, 542, 543, 623 and 649 of 2011 as illegal, arbitrary, and unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents to restore the names of the petitioner and his family members/predecessors as reflected in the revenue records prior to the order dated 22.05.2007 passed by the respondent No.3 and pass any other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the circumstances of the case".*

2. Considered the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties and with their consent this writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage.

3. It is stated that the grandfather of the petitioner has purchased property to an extent of Acs.7.05 gts., in Sy.No.1 of Darga Hussain Shahwali village, and an extent of Acs.2.14 gts., and Acs.0.38 gts., in Sy.Nos.332 and 333 of Shaikpet Village, and Ac.1.13 gts., in Sy.No.10 of Raidurg Village *vide* Sale Deed bearing Document No.2490 of 1964 dated 02.09.1964. It is further stated that when the names of the petitioner's predecessors-in-interest were deleted from the pahanies on the orders of respondent No.3, the petitioner was constrained to file a revision on the file of the

Joint Collector under Section 9 of the Telangana Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971, who in turn dismissed the said revision *vide* orders dated 18.10.2008. It is further stated that aggrieved by the said orders, the petitioner filed W.P.No.24506 of 2008 on the file of this Court, and the same was allowed, against which the State also filed appeal *vide* W.A.No.408 of 2011 and Batch, wherein the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court confirmed the orders passed by the learned Single Judge. The grievance of the petitioner is that despite the same, the respondents are not taking any steps to restore the entries that stood prior to the amendments being carried out in terms of the orders of the Revenue Divisional Officer.

4. As it is stated that the writ petition filed by the petitioner was allowed against the orders passed by the Revenue Divisional Officer dated 22.05.2007 and the same was confirmed by the Division Bench of this Court, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, deems it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition by permitting the petitioner to make an appropriate online application in Dharani portal seeking to restore the entries as they stood prior to 22.05.2007, in accordance with the provisions of ROR Act, 9 of 2020. In the event of said application being submitted by the petitioner, the respondents are directed to restore

the entries as they stood prior to the orders passed by the Revenue Divisional Officer dated 22.05.2007.

5. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

As a sequel, the miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed. No costs.

SD/- N. SRIHARI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat Building, The State of Telangana, Hyderabad
2. The Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Rajendarnagar Divison, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana
4. The Tahsildar, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana
5. One CC to Sri Challa Apoorva Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
7. Two CD Copies

T J/BN

HIGH COURT CC TODAY

DATED:30/12/2024

ORDER

WP.No.36693 of 2024



DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION

WITHOUT COSTS

10/02/25