HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 35325 OF 2024

Between:

Pappula Ramesh Reddy, S/o P.Ramchandra Reddy, Age 55 years, Occ Business, R/o 8-7-133/11, Sri Vinayaka venue, Gowri nagar, old bowenpally, Secunderabad, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Represented by its Principal Secretary to Home Department, TS Secretariate, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad Commissionerate at Hyderabad.
3. The Station House Officer, North Zone Task Force, Secunderabad, Hyderabad Commissionerate.
4. Srinivas Reddy, S/o not known Age 35 years, Occ business. R/o 12-7-45/26/1A, plot no 52, Shakthi nagar, Bharath nagar, Opp Care Hospital, jagadgirigutta, Hyderabad, Telangana.
5. Venu gopal reddy, S/o not known Age 45 years, Occ business. Beside Wesley church Sankalp Classic, R/o 12-7-45/26/1A, plot no 52, Shakthi nagar, Bharath nagar, Opp Care Hospital, jagadgirigutta, Hyderabad, Telangana

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ order or direction declaring the action of the Respondent No.3 in interfering with the personal life and liberty of Petitioner In respect of business transaction for money between the Respondent no.4 and the petitioner, petitioner and the respondent no.4 both are the business partners and the respondent no.5 who is the brother in law of the respondent no.4 influencing the respondent no.3 to interfere in a money dispute which is purely in civil nature, on the influence of the Respondent 4 and 5 to settle the civil dispute pressurizing the petitioner to pay the cheque amount to the respondent no.4, which is illegal and contrary to law and infringement of

Fundamental Rights of the Petitioners as guaranteed under Article 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct Respondent No.3 in interfering with the personal life and liberty of Petitioner In respect of business transaction for money between the Respondent no.4 and the petitioner, petitioner and the respondent no.4 both are the business partners and the respondent no.5 who is the brother in law of the respondent no.4 influencing the respondent no.3 to interfere in a money dispute which is purely in civil nature, on the influence of the Respondent 4 & 5 to settle the civil dispute pressurizing the petitioner to pay the cheque amount to the respondent no.4, on the influence of the Respondent 4 & 5 to settle the civil disputes pending disposal of the above writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: M/s PAGILLA JAYANTHI
Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 TO 3: SRI R.LAXMIKANTH REDDY, AGP FOR HOME
Counsel for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5: --

The Court made the following: ORDER

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B. VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.35325 OF 2024

ORDER : (ORAL)

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner seeking to declare the action of respondent No.3 - the Station House Officer, North Zone Task Force, Secunderabad, in interfering with his personal life and liberty and interfering the civil/financial disputes between the petitioner and respondents No.4 and 5 without following due process of law, as being illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional.

2. Mr. R. Laxmikanth Reddy, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, basing on the written instructions dated 16.12.2024, submitted that respondent No.3 verified the station records and it was revealed as on today, no complaint was received either from the petitioner or respondents No.4 and 5. The allegations made by the petitioner that respondent No.3 summoned, harassed, threatened and interfered with his personal life and liberty and interfered in the civil disputes pending between the petitioner and respondents No.4 and 5 are denied. It is further submitted that respondent No.3 has not taken any coercive steps against the petitioner as alleged in the writ affidavit.

3. Recording the aforesaid submission, the writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in the writ petition stand closed.

SD/- P. PADMANABHA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Principal Secretary to Home Department, TS Secretariate, Hyderabad, State of Telangana.
2. The Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad Commissionerate at Hyderabad.
3. The Station House Officer, North Zone Task Force, Secunderabad, Hyderabad Commissionerate.
4. One CC to M/s PAGILLA JAYANTHI, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad. [OUT]
6. Two CD Copies


PSK.
GJP
CHR

HIGH COURT

DATED:16/12/2024

ORDER

WP.No.35325 of 2024



DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION WITHOUT COSTS

(9) CHR
13/2/25