

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**TUESDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 15477 OF 2024

Between:

Mr. Shaik Meera Hussain, S/o Shaik Bikkan sat, Age. 55 yrs, Occ. Business (real estate), R/o. Rajiv Swagruha, C9- Block, Flat No.502, Bandlaguda, Nagole, R.R Dist, N/o. H.No.8-73, Main Road, Nuthankal Village & Mandalam, Suryapet Dist, Telangana

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

AND

The State of Telangana, through, P.S Cyber Crime, Hyderabad. Rep by its Public Prosecutor High Court of Telangana, Hyderabad.

...Respondent

Petition under Section 480, 483 of BNSS Act, 2023 praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime vide Crime No. 2546/2024 i.e., Under Sec. Offence U/Sec. 66 C, 66 D IT Act, 86 Sec.308(2), 318(4), 319(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2) of BNSS.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri JANARDHAN SUNKARI, Advocate for the Petitioner and Mr. SYED YASAR MAMOON, the Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Sole Respondent.

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15477 of 2024

ORAL ORDER:

Seeking the Court to enlarge the petitioner, who is arrayed as accused No.1 in Crime No.2546 of 2024 of Cyber Crime Police Station, Hyderabad, on bail, the present Criminal Petition is filed.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 24.10.2024, received a complaint from de facto complainant stating that a she received a WhatsApp call from the cell number 8623956089. The caller claimed to be from the Mumbai Cyber Crime unit and stated that her Aadhaar card was being misused in various states, including Goa, Haryana, and Punjab. It is alleged that her Aadhaar information was used to obtain a Jio fiber network connection, which was involved in illegal activities such as child trafficking, pornography, and money fraud. The caller threatened her, stating that she was a suspect in a money fraud case and would be arrested if she disconnected the call.

3. Heard Sri Janardhan Sunkari, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri Sri Syed Yasar Mamoon, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that even if the allegations are taken as true, no prima facie case is made against the petitioner and that the allegations do not constitute an offence as alleged in the complaint. He further submitted that the petitioner denies involvement in the alleged offence and claims that the allegations are false and an abuse of the legal process and that the necessary ingredients for the alleged offence are not present in the complaint, and no overt act is attributed to the petitioner. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this Criminal Petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are serious in nature, at this stage, granting of bail to the petitioner does not arise. Further, the investigation is not yet completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.



6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it is noted that that the bank account of the petitioner was implicated in a transaction resulting in a substantial financial loss of Rs.3,21,000/- for the victim. Further, this amount remains unrecovered to date. In light of the severity of the offence and the fact that the primary perpetrator remains unknown, the Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this juncture. Therefore, this Court does not find any merit in the criminal petition to grant bail to the petitioner and the same is liable to be dismissed.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is dismissed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- V. HARI PRASAD
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The XII Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate at Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. The Station House Officer, Cyber Crime Police Station, Hyderabad.
3. Two CCs to the PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court at Hyderabad.(OUT)
4. One CC to SRI. JANARDHAN SUNKARI Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

RC/gh


P A

HIGH COURT

DATED: 31/12/2024

ORDER

CRLP.No.15477 of 2024



ACCORDINGLY, THIS CRIMINAL PETITION IS DISMISSED

PA
12/2/25
scopies