

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD**

**MONDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF DECEMBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.SURENDER**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL NO: 1098 OF 2024**

Criminal Appeal filed under Section 415(2) (C) of BNSS against the Judgment dated 09/04/2021 passed in C.C.No.65 of 2013 on the file of the Court of the First Additional Special Judge for Trial of SPE and ACB Cases at Hyderabad.

**Between:**

Smt. Mandali Auradha, W/o Mandali Sudarshan Goud, Age about- 62 years,  
Occ- House-wife, R/o LIG-463, Near Sivalayam Temple, KPHB Colony,  
Kukatpally, Hyderabad, Telangana - 500 072.

**...Appellant/Petitioner**

**AND**

The State of Telangana, Rep by Spl Public Prosecutor, Through Inspector of  
Police, Anti-Corruption Bureau, Central Investigation Unit, Hyderabad.

**...Respondent/State**

**IA NO: 1 OF 2024**

Petition under Section 5 of Limitation Act R/w Section 482 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to condone the delay of 1306 days in presenting the present Criminal Appeal in the interest of justice.

**Counsel for the Appellant : Sri Devara Samhitha**

**Counsel for the Respondent : The Special Public Prosecutor, ACB**

**The Court delivered the following: Judgment**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.SURENDER****CRIMINAL APPEAL No.1098 OF 2024****JUDGMENT:**

1. This appeal is filed by the appellant aggrieved by the judgment dated 09.04.2021 in C.C.No.65 of 2013, on the file of I Additional Special Judge for Trial of SPE & ACB Cases, Hyderabad.
2. Heard the learned counsel for the appellant and the learned Special Public Prosecutor for ACB.
3. The appellant herein is the private party and wife of the accused who was prosecuted for disproportionate assets vide C.C.No.65 of 2013. The accused was convicted for 3 years.
4. The accused preferred Crl.A.No.192 of 2021 questioning his conviction.
5. The present Appeal is filed by the 3<sup>rd</sup> party/wife of the accused questioning the confiscation of the properties in the impugned judgment dated 09.04.2021. The said grievance of the appellant can be agitated in Crl.A.No.192 of 2021.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Appeal is closed granting liberty to the appellant herein/wife of the accused to prefer a petition in CrI.A.No.192 of 2021, filed by the accused.

//TRUE COPY//


Sd/- T. SRINIVAS  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

  
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The First Additional Special Judge for Trial of SPE and ACB Cases at Hyderabad
2. The Inspector of Police, Anti-Corruption Bureau, Central Investigation Unit, Hyderabad
3. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One CC to Sri Devara Samhitha, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

ADK/gh

  
kp

**HIGH COURT**

**DATED:30/12/2024**

**JUDGMENT**

**CRLA.No.1098 of 2024**



**CLOSING THE CRLA**

⑧

kp  
19/2/25