

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**FRIDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH

WRIT PETITION NO: 12245 OF 2012

Between:

M. Dhiraj Reddy, S/o. M. Ravinder Reddy, Aged about 25 years, Occ: Business R/o. Ravirala Village, Maheswaram Mandal, R.R. District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The District Panchayat Officer, Ranga Reddy District, Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. The Secretary, Ravirala Gram Panchayat, Maheswaram Mandal, R.R. District.
3. B. Madhava Reddy, S/o. B. Venkata Reddy, R/o. H.No. 3-57, Ravirala Village, Maheswaram Mandal, R.R. District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue appropriate order or direction more particularly a writ in the nature of certiorari calling for the records related to the proceedings No Roc. No 81/2012-B1 (Pts) dated 27-03-2012 passed by the 1st respondent and quash the same. Consequentially direct the respondent Nos 1 and 2 to cancel the permission which was issued in favour of the respondent No 3 in respect of premise bearing No 3-57, Ravirala village, Maheswaram Mandal, R.R. District.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2012(WPMP. NO: 15381 OF 2012)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No 3 not to proceed with the construction in respect of H.No 3-57, Ravirala village, Maheswaram Mandal, R.R. District.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI M.A.K.MUKHEED

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEV

**Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : SRI KISHORE RAO PUSKURU,
(SC FOR TS ZILLA PARISHADS)**

Counsel for the Respondent No.3 :SRI. M.SUBRAMANYAM

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.SARATH

WRIT PETITION No.12245 of 2012

ORDER:

Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the cause in the Writ Petition does not survive and no further orders required to be passed in this matter, thereby, the Writ Petition has become infructuous.

2. Recording the above said submission, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous.

3. As sequel to it, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any pending, shall stand dismissed. No order as to costs.

SD/- P.Ch. NAGABHUSHAMBA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI M.A.K.MUKHEED, Advocate. [OPUC]
 2. One CC to SRI M.SUBRAMANYAM, Advocate. [OPUC]
 3. Two CCs to GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEV, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
 4. One CC to SRI KISHORE RAO PUSKURU, (SC FOR TS ZILLA PARISHADS) [OPUC]
 5. Two CD Copies.
- BSK
GJP


§

HIGH COURT

DATED:12/01/2024

ORDER

WP.No.12245 of 2012



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
AS INFRACTUOUS
WITHOUT COSTS**

⑧ Copies

Sm
2/2/24