

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**THURSDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF NOVEMBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 9372 OF 2009**

**Between:**

Arthur Davis, S/o Late Barnard Davis, aged about 70 years, Occ: Retired  
Govt. Employess, R/o 9-1-88, 24 Sarojini Devi Road, Secunderabad,  
Hyderabad

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy District
2. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Shameerpet Ranga Reddy District

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in interfering and disposing the petitioner from the peaceful possession and enjoyment of land to an extent of Ac 10.00 of land in S.No.814, 815 and 816 of Jawahar Nagar, Shameerpet as illegal, arbitrary and against the principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere and dispossess the petitioner from the land to an extent of Ac 10.00 of land in S.No.814,815 and 816 of Jawahar Nagar, Shameerpet.

**I.A. NO: 1 OF 2009(WPMP. NO: 12248 OF 2009)**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to interfere and dispossess the petitioner from the land to an extent of Ac 10.00 cents of land in Sy No.814,815,816 of Jawahar Nagar, Shameerpet pending disposal of the writ Petition.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI A.DATTANAND (NOT PRESENT)**

**Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE**

**The Court made the following: ORDER**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.SARATH**

**WRIT PETITION No.9372 of 2009**

**ORDER:**

Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no instructions from the petitioner to proceed further in this matter, as the petitioner is aged more than 83 years.

2. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution/default. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

**SD/- T. JAYASREE  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

**SECTION OFFICER**

To,

1. One CC to SRI A.DATTANAND, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies

BSR  
GJP

**HIGH COURT**

**DATED: 28/11/2024**



**ORDER**

**WP.No.9372 of 2009**

**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION  
FOR NON-PROSECUTION,  
WITHOUT COSTS**

*b*  
*G.A.*  
*19/12/24*