HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 11194 OF 2015

Between:

1. Smt. Waheeda Begum, W/o Shaik Mahaboob Subhani, aged 37 years, Housewife, R/o 2-20-6/28/1/1, Adarshnagar. Uppal , Ranga Reddy District.
2. T.Venkataiah, S/o Rajamallu, aged 56 years, Oce Pvt Employee, R/o 2-20-6/28/I, Adarshnagar, Uppal , Ranga Reddy District.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Ranga Reddy District at Hyderabad.
3. The Station House Officer, Uppal Police Station Ranga Reddy Dist
4. Dr. M.Chandra Mohan, S/o late M.Srinivasa Chary, 69 years, Retd. Medical College Professor, R/o H.No. 4-2-646, Radha Krishna Nagar, Rotary Naar, Khammam.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent herein in interfering in dispute pending between the petitioners and the 4th respondent herein in I.A.Nos. 386 and 387 of 2014 in L.G.C.No. 63 of 1998 as illegal, arbitrary, null and oid and for a consequential direction to the 31-d respondent herein not to interfere in dispute pending between the petitioners and the 4th respondent herein.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2015(WPMP. NO: 14804 OF 2015)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 3rd respondent not to harass the petitioners by calling to the police station in connection with the dispute pending between the petitioners in I.A.Nos. 386 and 387 of 2014 in L.G.C.No. 63 of 1998 pending disposal of the above writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI M.V.SURESH

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 to 3: GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: SRI MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

The Court made the following: ORDER

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B. VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.11194 OF 2015

ORDER : (ORAL)

Mr. M. V. Suresh, learned counsel for the petitioners, submitted that the cause in the writ petition does not survive for further adjudication and the matter has become infructuous.

2. In view of the above no further orders are required to be passed. The writ petition is disposed of as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in the writ petition stand closed.

SD/- K. AMMAJI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,


1. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad, State of Telangana.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Ranga Reddy District at Hyderabad.
3. The Station House Officer, Uppal Police Station Ranga Reddy Dist
4. One CC to SRI M.V.SURESH, Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to SRI MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
7. Two CD Copies

PA

BSR
GJP

HIGH COURT

DATED: 28/11/2024



ORDER

WP.No.11194 of 2015

DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION

AS INFRUCTUOUS,

WITHOUT COSTS

PA
8/1/25
10 copies.