

BAIL SLIP : The Petitioner/ Accused was directed to be released on bail by the order of the High Court dated.13.12.2010, in CrI.RC.M.P.No.3459 of 2010, in CrI.R.C No.2303 of 2010.

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

**TUESDAY ,THE THIRD DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.SURENDER

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 2303 OF 2010

Criminal Revision filed under Section 397 & 401 of Cr.P.C., aggrieved by the judgment dated 08.12.2010, in CrI.A.No.190 of 2010, on the file of the Court of the II Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, at Hyderabad by confirming the judgment passed by the XVI Additional Judge -cum- XX Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad.

Between:

K.KOTESHWAR RAO, VANASTHALIPURAM, HYDERABAD,
S/o. K.Basawaraju Proprietor M/s. Laxmi Constructions, Builders and
Developers, R/o. 5-4-1154, Plot No. 173/A, Sharadanagar, Vanasthalipuram,
Hyderabad - 70

...PETITIONER/APPELLANT/ACCUSED NO.1

AND

1. THE STATE OF A.P., Represented by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of A.P.,Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT

2. Chenna Mahender, S/o. Ch.Venkatesham, Occ: Employee R/o. H.No. 1-8-726/47/1, Brindavan Colony, Nallakunta, Hyd., represented by its GPA Holder Chenna Vamshi Krishna, S/o.Ch.Venkatesham, aged about 26 years, Occ: Business,

...RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS/COMPLAINANT

Counsel for the Petitioner :SRI K. MALLIKARJUN

Counsel for the Respondent No.1. : PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (TG)

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : SRI K. HARIHAR BABU

The Court made the following : ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SURENDER

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.2303 OF 2010

ORDER:

This Criminal Revision Case is filed under Sections 397 and 401 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') by revision petitioner aggrieved by the Judgment dated 08.12.2010 passed in CRL.A.No.190 of 2010 by the learned II Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge at Hyderabad wherein the Judgment of Conviction and Sentence dated 22.06.2010 passed in C.C.No.234 of 2009 by the learned XX Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad for the offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, was confirmed.

02. Learned counsel for revision petitioner would submit that the matter has been compromised between the parties.

03. Having gone through the record, I do not find any infirmity in concurrent findings recorded by both the Court below. While confirming the conviction, since the case is quasi-criminal in nature, keeping in view the submission made by learned counsel for revision petitioner, the sentence of imprisonment is

reduced to that of the period already undergone by revision petitioner. However, the fine component shall remain unaltered.

04. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is partly allowed. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/- T. SRINIVAS
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Court of XVI Additional Judge -cum- XX Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad.
2. The Court of II Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge at Hyderabad.
3. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad[OUT].
4. One CC to SRI. K.MALLIKARJUN, Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to SRI. K. HARIHAR BABU, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CD Copies

pcsd/gh




HIGH COURT

DATED:03/09/2024

ORDER

CRLRC.No.2303 of 2010



**PARTLY ALLOWING THE CRIMINAL REVISION
CASE**

⑨
13/11/24
lax