

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**TUESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE SUREPALLI NANDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 6242 OF 2017

Between:

G Muralidar, S/o G. Swamy Aged about 42 years, R/o. Plot No. 81/10, Sy. No. 77 Madhapur, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Power and Energy Department Secretariat, Hyderabad - 500 022
2. Southern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Ltd, Rep., by its Director Operations At Ameerpet, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents in not releasing the power supply to the petitioner building in Plot No. 82/11 in Sy. No. 77 of Madhapur, Hyderabad as illegal, null, void, arbitrary and consequently direct the respondents to release the power supply without insisting to occupancy certificate.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2017(WPMP. NO: 7646 OF 2017)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 2nd Respondent to release the power supply to the petitioner building in Plot No. 82/11, in Sy. No. 77 of Madhapur, Hyderabad for Ground + 3 Floors only.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI K.DURGA PRASAD

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: AGP FOR ENERGY

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: SRI R.VINOD REDDY, SC FOR TGSPDCL

The Court made the following: ORDER

HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE SUREPALLI NANDA

WRIT PETITION No.6242 OF 2017

ORDER:

Heard Sri K. Durga Prasad, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Energy, appearing on behalf of respondent No.1 and Sri R. Vinod Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for TGSPDCL, appearing on behalf of respondent No.2

2. The petitioner approached the Court seeking prayer as under:

"...to issue an appropriate Writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents in not releasing the power supply to the petitioner building in Plot No. 82/11 in Sy. No. 77 of Madhapur Hyderabad as illegal, null, void, arbitrary and consequently direct the respondents to release the power supply without insisting to occupancy certificate and to pass..."

3. It is represented by both the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No.2 that by virtue of the Interim order passed in favour of the petitioner on 22.02.2017 in W.P.M.P. No. 7646 of 2017 no further orders are necessary.

4. Bringing the said submission of both the learned counsel on record, the writ petition is closed. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, the miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in the Writ Petition shall also stand closed.

Sd/- A. SRINIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. One CC to SRI K.DURGA PRASAD, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for ENERGY, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad. [OUT]
3. One CC to SRI R.VINOD REDDY, S.C. for TGSPDCL [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

PSK.

MP

HIGH COURT

DATED:05/11/2024

ORDER

WP.No.6242 of 2017



CLOSING THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS.

7
h.b.
27/12/20