

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**MONDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH

WRIT PETITION NO: 10436 OF 2014

Between:

Bokka Mohan Reddy, S/o: Late Mysireddy, Aged about 50 years, R/o D.No.9-169/4/9, Maruthi Nagar, Shivareddiguda, Ghatkesar village and Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal, Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, Tarnaka, Hyderabad.
3. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District.
4. The District Panchayat Officer, Ranga Reddy District, Yathrinivas, 2nd floor, Nampally, Hyderabad.
5. The Executive Officer-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Ghatkesar Grampanchayat, Ghatkesar Village And Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
6. Singi Reddy Aruna, W/o: Srinivas Reddy, Aged about 40 years, R/o Srinidhi Greenary Layout, 9-169/ 11/ 1, Shivareddiguda, Ghatkesar (VandM), Ranga Reddy District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue Writ, or Direction especially one in the nature of Writ of MANDAMUS directing the respondents 1 to 5 to take necessary action against the illegal constructions being made by the 6th respondent in plot No.45, House No.9-169/11/1, Srinidhi Greenary Layout S.No.572, 573, 574, 505, 574-B and 574/12, Ghatkesar Grampanchayat, Ranga Reddy District and consequently direct the 6th respondent to stop the construction forthwith.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2014(WPMP. NO: 13103 OF 2014)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents 2 to 5 to take necessary action against the illegal construction that is being made by the 6th respondent.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2014(WPMP. NO: 13104 OF 2014)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 1st respondent to dispose of the Revision Petition filed by the 6th respondent, pending disposal of the Writ petition

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI D. KODANDARAMI REDDY

**Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1,3 and 4: GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ &
RURAL DEVELOPMENT**

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: SRI M. DHANANJAY REDDY(SC FOR HUDA)

**Counsel for the Respondent No.5: SRI P. RAGHAVENDER REDDY,
SC FOR GRAM PANCHAYAT**

Counsel for the Respondent No.6: SRI UNNAM MURALIDHAR RAO

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.SARATH

WRIT PETITION No.10436 of 2014

ORDER:

This petition is coming for hearing since 2014. On 16.12.2023, when the matter was called, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and therefore the matter was directed to be listed under the caption "for dismissal". Even today, when the matter is called, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It seems that the petitioner is not interested to prosecute this case.

2. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed for Non-prosecution/default. There shall be no order as to costs.

3. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any in this petition, shall stand closed.

SD/-MOHD. ISMAIL
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri D. Kodandarami Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Panchayat Raj & Rural Development, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
3. One CC to Sri M. Dhananjay Reddy(SC for HUDA) [OPUC]
4. One CC to Sri Unnam Muralidhar Rao, Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to Sri P. Raghavender Reddy, SC for Gram Panchayat[OPUC]
6. Two CD Copies

T J
GJP



HIGH COURT

DATED:04/03/2024

ORDER

WP.No.10436 of 2014



**DISMISSING THE WP
FOR NON-PROSECUTION/DEFAULT
WITHOUT COSTS.**

⑨ Copies MBL
20/4