

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SAM KOSHY

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

IN

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2273 OF 2024

Between:

G. Umashankar, S/o Late G. Vittalaiah

...PETITIONER

AND

1. P. Ravinder Reddy, S/o P. Narasimha Reddy
2. Mr. Atul @ Khadar, S/o Not known to the petitioner
3. Mr. Sudhanidhi, S/o Not known to the petitioner

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 148 R/W 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the Letter dated 02-12-2025 vide Dis No. 2198 of 2025, addressed by the II Addl. Junior Civil Judge Cum XIII Addl. Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Ranga Reddy District, at Rajendranagar and forwarded by the Principal District and Sessions Judge, Ranga Reddy District at L.B.Nagar, vide Dis No. 7114/Admn/2025, dated 03-11-2025, this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to extend the time granted on 30-08-2024 in CRP No. 2273 of 2024 for a further period of three months for dispose of IA No. 444 of 2022 in OS No. 31 of 2010 on the file of the II Addl. Junior Civil Judge Cum XIII Addl. Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Ranga Reddy District, at Rajendranagar.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Letter dated 02-12-2025 vide Dis No. 2198 of 2025, addressed by the II Addl. Junior Civil Judge Cum XIII Addl. Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Ranga Reddy District, at Rajendranagar and forwarded by the Principal District and Sessions Judge, Ranga Reddy District at L.B.Nagar, vide Dis No. 7114/Admn/2025, dated 03-11-2025

The Court made the following: ORDER

On a perusal of the correspondence given by the trial Court, it appears that the instant letter has been moved by the trial Court seeking for extension of time for disposal of I.A.No.444 of 2022 in O.S.No.31 of 2010.

For the reasons stated in the letter, the trial Court has tried to give some explanation for having not concluded the trial within an outer limit of one year. Even the extension period sought for is also for disposal of the IA filed under Order XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 CPC, which again was not a mandate of the Court while deciding of the CRP. This Court while disposing of the CRRP had directed very suit itself i.e., O.S.No.31 of 2010 to be decided at the earliest. Surprisingly, IA filed under Order XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 CPC till date has not been decided. The manner in which the progress is being made is not appreciable. Once when there is a direction by the High Court for expeditious completion of the trial, it becomes incumbent upon the Presiding Officer concerned to take up the matter on priority basis referring to the direction of the High Court and ensure that all efforts are made for compliance of the High Court's direction. In the instant case, the IA itself till date has not been effectively decided against the order of deciding the suit itself on merits.

Without further entering upon the progress of the trial Court, at this juncture, we grant a further extension to the trial Court concerned to ensure compliance of the direction given in C.R.P.No.2273 of 2024 dated 30.08.2024 insofar as deciding the very suit itself at the earliest, preferably within a further period of six months starting from today.

I.A.No.1 of 2025 is accordingly allowed.

**Sd/- MOHD. ISMAIL
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To


1. The II Additional Junior Civil Judge-Cum-XIII Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Ranga Reddy District, at Rajendranagar
2. The Principal District and Sessions Judge, Ranga Reddy District at L.B.Nagar
3. Two CD Copies

Yps

HIGH COURT

DATED: 09/01/2026

ORDER
IA NO: 1 OF 2026
IN
CRP.No.2273 of 2024



EXTENSION

⑤
kpr
22/1/26