

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE SUREPALLI NANDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 38732 OF 2015

Between:

Konda Bhagyalakshmi, W/o. Sri K. Satyanarayana, aged 60 years, Occ House Wire, R/o. Nellore, Rep. by her son K. Siva Kumar, S/o. Sri K. Satyanarayana, aged 42 years, as General Power of Attorney Holder R/o. Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Divisional Engineer (Operation), Kukatpally, APCPDCL (Now known as TSSCPDCL) Suraram Mandal, E Seva Building, Near JNTUC Road, Kukatpally, R.R. District, Hyderabad.
2. The Superintendent Engineer, Operation, Kukatpally, APCPDCL (Now known as TSCPDCL) Suraram Mandal, Ranga Reddy North Circle, 132 KV, Gunrock Sub-Station, Thirumalghery, Secunderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue appropriate writ order Or direction more particularly a writ of mandamus and declare the action of the Respondent in keeping the representation of the Petitioner dated 22-4-2014 as pending and not disposing even lapsing one and half year time as illegal, arbitrary and consequently direct the- respondent to dispose Of the representation dated 22-4-2014 by fixing certain- time in the interest of justice and issue any other appropriate, writ, order or direction as the Hon'ble court may deem just and proper in the circumstances of the case

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI ARAVINDU MATURI

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI R.VINOD REDDY, SC FOR TSSCPDCL

The Court made the following: ORDER

HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE SUREPALLI NANDA

WRIT PETITION No.38732 OF 2015

ORDER:

Heard Sri Aravind Maturi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Sri R. Vinod Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for TSSCPDCL appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2.

2. The petitioner approached the Court seeking prayer as under:

"...to issue appropriate writ order or direction more particularly a Writ of *Mandamus* and declare the action of the Respondent in keeping the representation of the Petitioner dated 22-4-2014 as pending and not disposing even lapsing one and half year time as illegal, arbitrary and consequently direct the respondent to dispose of the representation dated 22-4-2014 by fixing certain time in the interest of justice and issue any other appropriate writ order or direction as the Honble court may deem just and proper in the circumstances of the case".

3. It is represented by Sri R. Vinod Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for TSSCPDCL appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 that the cause of action in the

present writ petition does not survive for adjudication any more, since the issue pertains to the disposal of the representation of the petitioner dated 22.04.2014. The said submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents is not disputed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

4. Bringing the said submission of the learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents on record, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, the miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in the Writ Petition shall also stand closed.

SD/- N. SRIHARI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

//TRUE COPY//

To,

1. One CC to SRI ARAVINDU MATURI, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI R.VINOD REDDY, SC FOR TSSCPDCL [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

BSR

LS

[Handwritten signature]

HIGH COURT

DATED: 17/10/2024



ORDER

WP.No.38732 of 2015

**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
AS INFRUCTUOUS,
WITHOUT COSTS**

*5 copies
del
4/12/24*