

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**WEDNESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 3321 OF 2009

Between:

Nuthi Vijaya Rani, W/o. Late Venkata Rao, aged 55 years, Cultivation, R/o. Gattaigudem, Polvoncha, Khammam District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Sub Collector, Polvoncha, Khammam District.
2. The Tahsildar, Polvoncha Mandal, Polvoncha Khammam District.
3. Smt. B. Ramanujamma, W/o. Late Ramaiah, aged 85 years, Household, R/o. Rahul Gandhi Nagar, Polvoncha, Khammam District.

The order dt 25/04/2013 has not been complied with Hence, given effect and WP stands dismissed as against R3

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Certiorari, calling for the records relating to the issuance of notice Rc No. B/219/2008 dt. 15-12-2008 from the file of the 1st respondent and after perusing the same, quash the said notice dt. 15-12-2008 by declaring it as arbitrary without jurisdiction and contrary to the provisions of A.P. Record of Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Rules, 1989 and with all other consequential benefits.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2009(WPMP. NO: 4316 OF 2009)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant interim stay of all further proceedings in pursuance to the impugned notice

Roc No. B/219/2008 dt. 15-12-2008 issued by the 1st respondent pending disposal of the writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI V.JAGAPATHI (NOT PRESENT)

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

Writ Petition No. 3321 of 2009

ORDER:

When the matter was taken up for consideration on 22.01.2024, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. To give one opportunity the matter was posted to 05.02.2024 under the caption 'For Dismissal'.

2. Today also there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, inspite of listing under the caption "For Dismissal". It appears that the petitioner is not having any interest in prosecuting the matter.

3. After perusal of the record it reveals that the Writ Petition was already dismissed against the contesting respondent No.3, for non-compliance of the conditional order dated 25.04.2013.

4. In view of the foregoing reasons, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

**SD/-N. SRIHARI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI V.JAGAPATHI, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies


&

HIGH COURT

DATED: 07/02/2024

ORDER

WP.No.3321 of 2009



DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
FOR NON-PROSECUTION,
WITHOUT COSTS

⑥ Copied

Em
4/3/24