

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH

WRIT PETITION NO: 18530 OF 2010

Between:

Sri Saibaba Samajam, represented by its Secretary M.Utham Kumar S/o. Ramakrishna Register No.122/72, Minister Road, Nallagutta, Secunderabad - 500 003.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its, Collector, Collectorate of Hyderabad, Hyderabad.
2. The Tahsildar, Secunderabad Mandal, Secunderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in issuing proceedings L.R.No.B/2608/10 dt. 05/7/10, as illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction and in violation of rights guaranteed under Articles 25, 26 and 300A of the Constitution of India consequently to set a side the proceedings in L.R.No.B/2608/2010 dated 05.07.2010 directing the respondent not to interfere with the peaceful possession of the property of the petitioner society and not to interfere with the religious affairs of the petitioner society.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2010(WPMP. NO: 23403 OF 2010)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased stay all further proceedings consequent to the proceedings in L.R.No.B/2608/2010 dated 05.07.2010 restraining the 2nd respondent from interfering in any manner with the peaceful possession of the property and affairs of the petitioner society.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI A.CHANDRA SHAKER

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.SARATH

WRIT PETITION No.18530 OF 2010

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the cause in the writ petition does not survive. In view of the same, the writ petition has become infructuous.

2. Recording the aforementioned submission, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

3. As sequel to it, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-N. SRIHARI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR



SECTION OFFICER

To

1. One CC to SRI A.CHANDRA SHAKER, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad. [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies

PSK.
GJP

PA

HIGH COURT

DATED:22/10/2024

ORDER

WP.No.18530 of 2010



DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
AS INFRUCTUOUS WITHOUT COSTS.

PA
20/1/25
6 copies.