

[3304]

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

MONDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NAMAVARAPU RAJESHWAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 18046 OF 2010

Between:

M.Chandra Shekar, S/o.Late M.Janardhan Conductor,E.No 210946
Pragathinagar,Manchal Road, Ibrahimpatnam,Ranga Reddy District

AND

...PETITIONER

1. Govt of A.P, rep.by its Principal Secretary, Department of Transport,Road and Buildings,(Tr.II) Secretariat,Hyderabad
2. A.P.S.R.T.C, Rep.by its Managing Director, Bus Bhavan,RTC X Road, Hyderabad
3. The Executive Director,A.P.S.R.T.C, Hyderabad City Zone, J.B.S,Secunderabad
4. The Regional Manager,APSRTC, Hyderabad City Region,M.G.B.S Gowliguda, Hyderabad
5. The Depot Manager,APSRTC, Bus Depot, Ibrahimpatnam, Ranga Reddy District

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or direction particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus, declaring the impugned G.O Ms NO 145 dt 01-07-2009,as well as the consequential impugned Proceedings No.OS4/255(36)/2007-P.O.III dated,04-07-2009,proc.NO E3/214(01/08-HCZ,dated 22-07-2009 in regularizing the petitioner services only w.e.f.01-07-2009 along with others instead of regularizing the petitioner service from the dated of initial appointment or at least from the date of completion of 240 days of service, i.e 01-08-2003 on par with other colleagues as illegal, discriminatory, arbitrary, unjust, capricious and consequently, the petitioner pray this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to regularizing vide proc.No P2/255(1)/03-IBP dated 12-03-2003

and P2/255(1)/04-IBP dated 10-11-2004 and other along with all consequential benefits following out of such regularization in the interest of justice

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2010(WPMP. NO: 22802 OF 2010)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents NO 2 to 5 to regularize the petitioner service from 01-08-2003 on par with Colleagues covered by vide proc NO P2/255(1)/03-IBP dt 12-3-2003 and P2/255(1)/04-IBP dt 10-11-2004 disposal of the above wp

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. V. NARASIMHA GOUD

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR TRANSPORT

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 2to5: SRI R. ANURAG (SC FOR TSRTC)

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NAMAVARAPU RAJESHWAR RAO

WRIT PETITION No.18046 OF 2010

ORDER:

When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner informed that there are no instructions from the petitioner and he does not have any information regarding further details of the case.

2. In view of the above submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is closed, giving liberty to the petitioner to approach the Court, if any cause survives in the writ petition. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, in this Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

**SD/- V.KAVITHA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. V. NARASIMHA GOUD, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI. R. ANURAG (SC FOR TSRTC) [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP FOR TRANSPORT, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. Two CD Copies

**B M
PSK**



HIGH COURT

DATED:30/12/2024



ORDER

WP.No.18046 of 2010

CLOSING THE WRIT PETITION WITHOUT COSTS

(7)

kp
10/2/25