

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**TUESDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH

WRIT PETITION NOS: 9605, 9607, 9608, 9617, 9626, 9630 OF 2011

WRIT PETITION NO: 9605 OF 2011

Between:

Pedhi Mallaiah, S/o. P. Kondaiah, aged about 42 years, Occ: Business, R/o.
2-129/11/79, Vijayapuri Colony, Uppal, Ranga Reddy District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District at Lakdikapool, Hyderabad
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Hyderabad East Division, Ranga Reddy District
3. The Tahsildar, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in interfering with the possession of the petitioner herein over the Plot No.154, admeasuring 200 Sq. Yards in Sy.No.283/42 situated at Abdullahpur village, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District as arbitrary, illegal, and violative of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2011(WPMP. NO: 11884 OF 2011)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to restrain the respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner

2

over the Plot No.154, admeasuring 200 Sq. Yards in Sy.No.283/42 situated at Abdullahpur village, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI A.KRANTI KUMAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: AGP FOR REVENUE

WRIT PETITION NO: 9607 OF 2011

Between:

B. Dayanand Singh, S/o. B. Mohan Singh, aged about 40 years, Occ: Business R/o. H.No. 14-10-479, Sinsi Chowraha, Neeche Dholpet, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District at Lakdikapool, Hyderabad.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Hyderabad East Division, Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Tahsildar, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in interfering with the possession of the petitioner herein over the plot No.95, admeasuring 300 Sq.yards, in Sy.No.283/44, situated at Abdullahpur Village, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, as arbitrary, illegal, and violative of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2011(WPMP. NO: 11886 OF 2011)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to restrain the Respondents not to interfere with the possession of the Petitioner over the plot No.95, admeasuring 300 Sq.yards, in Sy.No.283/44, situated at Abdullahpur Village, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI A.KRANTI KUMAR REDDY

3-

Counsel for the Respondents: AGP FOR REVENUE

WRIT PETITION NO: 9608 OF 2011

Between:

N.Murali Krishna Prasad Rao, S/o N.Hanumantha Rao, aged about 34 years,
Occ:Business, R/o. Flat No.103, 1st Floor, Shivani Residency, beside
Vijayalaxmi Theater, Sri Ram Nagar Colony, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District at Lakdikapool, Hyderabad.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Hyderabad East Division, Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Tahsildar, Hayathnagar mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in interfering with the possession of the petitioner herein over the plot No.70/A, admeasuring 100 Sq.yards, in Sy.no.283/44, situated at Abdullahpur Village, Hayathnagar mandal, Ranga Reddy District, as arbitrary, illegal, and violative of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2011(WPMP. NO: 11889 OF 2011)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to restrain the respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner over the plot NO.70/A, admeasuring 100Sq.yards, in Sy.no.283/44, situated at Abdullahpur village, Hayathanagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI A.KRANTI KUMAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: AGP FOR REVENUE

4

WRIT PETITION NO: 9617 OF 2011

Between:

Kailash Singh, S/o. Banarsi Lal, aged about 39 years, Occ:Business, R/o. H.No. 13-1-1063, Gandhi Baithak, Upper Dhoolpet, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District at Lakdikapool, Hyderabad
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Hyderabad East Division, Ranga Reddy District
3. The Tahsildar, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in interfering with the possession of the petitioner herein over the Plot No.101, admeasuring 200 Sq. Yards in Sy.No.283/44 situated at Abdullahpur village, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District as arbitrary, illegal, and violative of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2011(WPMP. NO: 11898 OF 2011)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to restrain the respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner over the Plot No.101, admeasuring 200 Sq. Yards in Sy.No.283/44 situated at Abdullahpur village, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI A.KRANTI KUMAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: AGP FOR REVENUE

WRIT PETITION NO: 9626 OF 2011

Between:

Abdul Sajeed, S/o Abdul Lateef, aged about 34 years, Occ:Business, R/o 11-21-662, near Darga, NTR Nagar Hyderabad.

— 5 —

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District at Lakdikapool, Hyderabad.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Hyderabad East Division, Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Tahsildar, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in interfering with the possession of the petitioner herein over the plot No.70/B, admeasuring 100 Sq.yards, in Sy.no.283/44, situated at Abdullahpur Village, Hayathnagar mandal, Ranga Reddy District, as arbitrary, illegal, and violative of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2011(WPMP. NO: 11909 OF 2011)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to restrain the respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner over the plot No.70/B, admeasuring 100Sq.yards, in Sy.no.283/44, situated at Abdullahpur village, Hayathanagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI A.KRANTI KUMAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: AGP FOR REVENUE

WRIT PETITION NO: 9630 OF 2011

Between:

Shanker Singh, S/o. Banarsi Lal, aged about 42 years, Occ: Business
R/o. Abdullahpur village Hayathnagar Mandal Ranga Reddy District

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The District Collector, at Lakdikapool, Hyderabad
2. The Revenue Divisional officer, Hyderabad East Division, Ranga Reddy District

- 6-
3. The Tahsildar, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Ranga Reddy District

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in interfering with the possession of the petitioner herein over the plot NO.94, admeasuring 300 Sq.yards, in Sy.no.283/44, situated at Abdullahpur Village, Hayathnagar mandal, Ranga Reddy District, as arbitrary, illegal, and violative of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2011(WPMP. NO: 11914 OF 2011)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to restrain the Respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner over the plot No.94 admeasuring 300 sq.yards in Sy.No.283/44 situated at Abdullapur village, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI A.KRANTI KUMAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: AGP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: COMMON ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.SARATH

**WRIT PETITION Nos.9605, 9607, 9608, 9617, 9626, 9630 of
2011**

COMMON ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for the respondents and perused the entire material on records.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the subject matters in these writ petitions are connected with the orders passed in W.P.Nos.18986 of 2010 and batch and the same were disposed of on 05.10.2012 and requested to pass similar orders in these writ petitions as well.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents has not disputed about the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. In view of the submissions made by both sides, all the writ petitions are allowed by following the orders passed by this Court in W.P.Nos.18986 of 2010 and batch, dated 05.10.2012, by directing the respondents not to interfere with the plots in occupation of the writ petitioners till the fresh resumption orders to the extent of the writ

petitioners are passed after putting them on notice under the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Assigned Lands (Prohibition of Transfers) Rules, 1977. No order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

**That Rule Nisi has made Absolute as above witness
The Hon'ble SRI ALOK ARADHE, The Chief Justice on this Tuesday, the Thirty
First Day of December, Two Thousand and Twenty Four.**

//TRUE COPY//

**SD/-T. JAYASREE
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER**

To,

1. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District at Lakdikapool, Hyderabad
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Hyderabad East Division, Ranga Reddy District
3. The Tahsildar, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District
4. One CC to SRI A.KRANTI KUMAR REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
6. Two CD Copies

BSR
BS



HIGH COURT

DATED: 31/12/2024



COMMON ORDER

WP.Nos.9605, 9607, 9608, 9617, 9626, 9630 OF 2011

**ALLOWING ALL THE WRIT PETITIONS,
WITHOUT COSTS**

9
12/12/2024
13/2/2025