HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI
AND

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY

WRIT PETITION NO: 8495 OF 2015

Between:

B. Narasimha Reddy, S/o. B. Laxma Reddy, aged about 70 years, Occupation Agriculture, R/o. Karmanghat Village, Saroornagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

.....PETITIONER

AND

1. The Special Court for cases under A.P. Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Act, 1982, Rep. by its Registrar, B.R.K.R. Bhavan, Hyderabad.
2. Rajender Reddy, S/o. Ramchandra Reddy.
3. Ajender Reddy, S/o. Ramchandra Reddy.
4. S. Vejender Reddy, S/o. Ramchandra Reddy, (Respondents 2 to 4 R/o. 3-6-36/AB, Himayathnagar, Hyderabad)
5. Yarlagadda Raghu, S/o. Late Ragya Nayakulu
6. M/s. Recherla Foundations Limited, Rep. by its Director, Smt. Y. Bhavani, W/o. Y. Raghu Babu.

   (Respondents 4 to 6 are R/o. 3-4-526/14, Barkatpura, Hyderabad)
7. M/s. Recharla Finance Private Limited, Rep. by its Director, Y. Rajasekhar. M. Ramesh Babu, S/o. Veera Bhadra Rao, Occupation Business.
8. M. Ravinder Reddy, S/o. M. Chenna Kesava Reddy, Occupation Business.
9. Smt. M. Sridevi, W/o. M. Ravinder Reddy, Occupation Housewife.
   (Respondents 8 to 10 are R/o. 3-2-19, Vanasthalipuram, Hyderabad)

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be

pleased to issue a Writ of Certiorari or any other appropriate writ and quash the judgment in L.G.C.No.28 of 2010, dated 04.02.2015, on the file of the 1st respondent and consequently allow the same as prayed for.

Counsel for the Petitioner : M/s BHARADWAJ ASSOCIATES (NOT PRESENT)

Counsel for the Respondents : ---

The Court made the following ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY

WRIT PETITION No.8495 OF 2015

ORDER: (Per Hon'ble Sri Justice Abhinand Kumar Shavili)

When the matter was listed on 28.11.2024, as none appeared for the petitioner, this Court directed to list the matter on 29.11.2024 under the caption 'for dismissal'. Even today, none appeared for the petitioner, despite listing the matter under the caption 'for dismissal'. Therefore, this Court has no other option except to dismiss the Writ Petition for default or non-prosecution.

2. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed for default. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous Applications, if any, pending in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

SD/- P.CH.NAGABHUSHAMBA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To
1. One CC to M/s BHARADWAJ ASSOCIATES, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CD Copies
SA
PSK
PA

HIGH COURT

DATED:06/12/2024

ORDER

WP.No.8495 of 2015



DISMISSING THE W.P

FOR DEFAULT WITHOUT COSTS.

PA
10/2/25
4 COPIES