

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**MONDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.LAKSHMAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 26829 OF 2009

Between:

S.Ramaswamy, S/o.Late Rajaram, aged 81 years, Occ : Business, R/o.H.No.64-200,
Market Area Mandamarri, Adilabad District.

.....PETITIONER

AND

The Commissioner, Mandamarri Municipality, Adilabad District.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ more particularly in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring the action of the respondents abnormal enhancement of property tax over house No.64-200, situated at Market Area, Mandamarri, Adilabad District from Rs.907/- per annum to 4,625/- by the respondent without giving details insisting for payment by the petitioner and not passing any order against representation dated 16.11.2009 as illegal, arbitrary, against the principles of natural justice and violating of Article 14 of the Constitution of India.

I.A.NO:1 OF 2009 (WPMP.NO:34948 OF 2009)

Petition Under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent not to take any coercive steps against the petitioner as well as his property in view of notice No.147 dated 7.8.2009 issued by the respondent pending disposal of the main writ petition.

**Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI V.NARASIMHA GOUD, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI CHINDAM ANJANEYULU**

Counsel for the Respondent : SRI S.S.NAGESWARAREDDY

The Court made the following ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.LAKSHMAN

WRIT PETITION No.26829 of 2009

ORDER:


When the matter is taken up for hearing Sri V.Narasimha Goud, learned counsel representing Sri Chindam Anjaneyulu, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, would submit that in view of interim orders, dated 23.03.2010, granted by this Court in this Writ Petition, the cause in the writ petition does not survive for further adjudication and it has become infructuous.

2. Recording the said submission, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this petition shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- L. VIJAYA LAXMI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

To

1. One CC to SRI CHINDAM ANJANEYULU, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI S.S.NAGESWARA REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

SA
GJP



HIGH COURT

DATED:16/12/2024

ORDER

WP.No.26829 of 2009



DISMISSING THE W.P

AS INFRUCTUOUS WITHUOT COSTS.

(5)

Kp
21/2/25