

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**MONDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE
AND
THE HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR JUKANTI**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4908 OF 2010

Between:

1. Koppula Lata, W/o. K. Narasimha Reddy, corporator, Ward No.9, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation R/o. H.no. 3-7-389, Mansurabad Village, L.B.Nagar, Hyderabad.
2. Gajjala Sushma, W/o. Madhusudhan Reddy, corporator, Ward No.12 Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation R/o. H.no. 7-2-75, Byramalguda Village, Karmanghat, Hyderabad.
3. Sama PRabhakar Reddy, S/o.late Srisailam, corporator, Ward No.10 Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation R/o. 5-4-179, Kamalanagar, Vanasthalipuram, Hyderabad.
4. Jitta Rajaswkar Reddy, S/o. Late Anji Reddy, corporator, Ward No.11 Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation R/o. Plot no. 333, Prasanthnagar, Vanasthalipuram, Hyderabad.
5. Edupuganti Dhanalakshmi, W/o. Lokendernath, corporator, Ward No. 17 Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation R/o. 17-5-87/3/A, Venkateswara Colony, SAroomnagar, Hyderabad.
6. V. Prakash Goud, S/o. Bikshapathy Goud, corporator, Ward No.8 Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation R/o. H.No. 2-35, Nagole, Kothapet, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Secretary, Law and Legislative Affairs, Secretariat, Hyderabad
2. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad
3. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Commissioner, Tank Bund, Hyderabad

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be

pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring the provisions contained in Section 8-A of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal corporation Act, 1955 and the Rules made in G.O.Ms.no. 57, dated 10.02.2010 as unconstitutional, being violative of Article 14, Article 243 (s) and the doctrine of excessive delegation and consequently restrain the respondents from enforcing the said provisions of law and rules.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2010(WPMP. NO: 6323 OF 2010)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to proceed with the constitution of the work committed within the territorial area of the 3rd respondent - corporation pursuant to the notification of the 3rd respondent constrained in proceedings No. 6960/Ac(elec)/GHMC/2010, dated 25.02.2010 pending disposal of the writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI S. ROHIT RAM

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR LAW AND LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

**Counsel for the Respondent No.2: GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND
URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: STANDING COUNSEL FOR GHMC

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE

AND

THE HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR JUKANTI

WRIT PETITION No.4908 of 2010

ORDER: *(Per the Hon'ble the Chief Justice Alok Aradhe)*

Mr. S. Rohit Ram, learned counsel for the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the cause in the writ petition does not survive for consideration and the writ petition has become infructuous.

3. In view of the aforesaid, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

Miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

//TRUE COPY//

**SD/-MOHD. ISMAIL
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI S. ROHIT RAM, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Law and Legislative Affairs, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
3. Two CCs to GP for Municipal Administration and Urban Development, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One CC to Standing Counsel for GHMC [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

MP
GJP



HIGH COURT

DATED:08/01/2024



ORDER

WP.No.4908 of 2010

**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
AS INFRUCTUOUS
WITHOUT COSTS**

⑨ NW
1/2/24