HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

WRIT PETITION NOS: 15426 OF 1991 AND 18996 OF 1995

W.P.No.15426 of 1991

Between:

T.Bal Reddy

.....PETITIONER

AND

The Commissioner, Survey Settlement and Land Records, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to call for the records pertaining to the notice No.D1/7958/89, dated 20-05-1995 from the office of the respondent herein and may be pleased to quash the same by issuing writ or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of certiorari declaring the same as illegal, void and contrary to the principles of natural justice and without jurisdiction and to issue any other writ or direction.

I.A.NO:1 OF 1991 (WPMP.NO:19684 OF 1991)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to pass order suspending the operation of order No.B1/6034/85 by the respondent No.2 herein pending w.p.no.15426 of 1991.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SMT PRASUNA REDDY, ADVOCATE FOR SRI A.PULLA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

W.P.NO:18996 OF 1995

Between:

1. T.BAL REDDY (died) per LRs, S/O T.VENKIAH ALIAS VENKAT REDDY AGRICULTURE R/O UPPAI RANGA REDDY DISTRICT
2. T. Satyamma, W/o. late Bal Reddy household R/o. Uppal, Uppal Mandal, RANGA REDDY DISTRICT
3. T. Raji Reddy, S/o. late Bal Reddy Business R/o. Uppal, Uppal Mandal, RANGA REDDY DISTRICT
4. T. Mohan Reddy, S/o. late Bal Reddy Business R/o. Uppal, Uppal Mandal, RANGA REDDY DISTRICT
5. T. Bhaskar Reddy, S/o. late Bal Reddy Business R/o. Uppal, Uppal Mandal, RANGA REDDY DISTRICT
6. T. Sujit Reddy, S/o. late Bal Reddy Business R/o. Uppal, Uppal Mandal, RANGA REDDY DISTRICT

(Petitioners 2 to 6 brought on record as LRs of deceased)

AND .....**PETITIONERS**

The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District Khairtabad, Hyderabad.

.....**RESPONDENT**

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to call for the records pertaining to notice no-d1/7958/89 dt-20-5-95 from the office of the respondent here in and may be pleased to quash the same by issuing a writ or direction more particularly one in the nature of the writ of certiorari declaring the same is as illegal, void. Contrary to the principles of the natural justice and equity and without jurisdiction and to issue.

I.A.NO: 1 OF 1995(WPMP. NO: 23255 OF 1995)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the notice no. d1/7958/89, dt:20-5-95 issued by the respondent herein pending final disposal of the writ petition.

I.A.NO:1 OF 2007(WPMP. NO: 20435 OF 2007)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to bring the Legal representatives of the deceased petitioner on record as petitioners No. 2 to 6 in the WP No. 18996/1995.

Counsel for the Petitioners : SMT PRASUNA REDDY, ADVOCATE FOR SRI A.PULLA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents : GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following COMMON ORDER

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

Writ Petition Nos.15426 of 1991 and 18996 of 1995

ORDER:

When the matters are taken up for consideration, Smt. Prasuna Reddy, learned counsel, representing Sri A.Pulla Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that in spite of their best efforts they could not secure any instructions from the petitioner to proceed with the matters on merits and expressed their inability.

2. These writ petitions are of the years 1991 and 1995.

3. Registry has submitted a note to the effect that the case file/records are not traceable.

4. Considering the above and the long pendency, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter in a state of pendency. However, liberty is granted to the parties to either file a fresh writ petition or seek revival in the event case file is traced out or in the event any prejudicial action is taken.

5. Accordingly, writ petitions are disposed of without prejudice to the rights of the parties subsisting as on date. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, miscellaneous applications, if any, pending shall stand closed.

SD/- A.V.S.PRASAD
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Commissioner, Survey Settlement and Land Records, Hyderabad.
2. The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District Khairtabad, Hyderabad.
3. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
4. One CC to Sri A.Pulla Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

SA

HIGH COURT

DATED:21/02/2024



COMMON ORDER

WP.Nos.15426 of 1991
AND 18996 of 1995

DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITIONS

WITHOUT COSTS.

PA
21/12/24
8 Copies