

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. BHASKAR REDDY

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 375 OF 2024

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 to punish the Respondents, for gross willful disobedience of the Honble Courts order dated 28.02.2023 passed in WP No 5725 of 2023.

Between:

Smt.Ranaveni Vanitha, W/o. Chinna Rajam Aged about 50 years, Occ. Housewife, R/o HN.o. 12-43, Mudhirajwada, Aliapur Village, Korutla Mandal, Jagitial District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. Sri. Ramakrishna, Mandal Parishad Development Officer (MPDO), Korutla Mandal, Jagitial District.
2. Sri.Naveen, Ailapur Grampanchayat, Rep. by its Panchayat Secretary, Ailapur Village, Korutla Mandal, Jagatial District.

...CONTEMNORS

Counsel for the Petitioners :SRI D.V. SHRIKANT

**Counsel for the Respondents: SRI R. CHANDRA SHEKAR REDDY (SC FOR TS
ZILLA PARISHADS)**

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. BHASKAR REDDY

CONTEMPT CASE No. 375 OF 2024

ORDER:

This contempt case is filed alleging violation of the order, dated 28.02.2023 passed by this Court in W.P.No.5725 of 2023.

2. By an order, dated 28.02.2023, while disposing of W.P.No.5725 of 2023, this Court, directed the respondent Nos.4 and 5 therein to consider the explanation, dated 02.01.2023 submitted by the petitioner, along with relevant documents, by duly taking into account of the permission granted to the petitioner by the concerned authorities, and pass a reasoned order thereon within a period of four (4) weeks from 28.02.2023 and communicate the same to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for both the parties have fairly submitted that pending adjudication of this Contempt Case, the order, dated 28.02.2023 in W.P.No.5725 of 2023 has been complied w

4. In view of the aforesaid submission, this Court is of the opinion that there is no willful disobedience and violation on the part of the respondents, to initiate contempt proceedings against them.

5. Accordingly, this Contempt Case is closed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to agitate her grievance, if she is aggrieved by the orders passed by the respondents.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/- T. KRISHNA KUMAR
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI D. V. SHRIKANT, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI R. CHANDRA SHEKAR REDDY (SC FOR TS ZILLA PARISHADS), Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

AR /gh

PMG.

HIGH COURT

DATED:06/09/2024

ORDER

CC.No.375 of 2024



(S) PMA

19/10/24

CLOSING THE CONTEMPT CASE.