HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY ,THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE T.VINOD KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO: 4507 OF 2024

Between:

Modali Venkata Suryanarayana, S/o. Late Modali Krishna Murthy Sarma, Aged 66 years, Occ. Advocate, R/o Flat No. 101 and 102, Surya Residency,H. No. 1-2-288/41, Domalguda, Hyderabad - 500 029.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development, Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. Principal Secretary, Govt. of Telangana, Municipal Administration and Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Commissioner, Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.
4. Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Circle No. 20, Serlingampally,

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction, particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in not taking up the revision/stay petition filed by the Petitioner on 14-02-2024 against the Speaking Order dt. 27-01-2024 in No. 1559/Cir.20/SLP/GHMC/2023 issued by the 4th respondent, for hearing as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondent No. 2 to dispose of revision/stay petition filed by the Petitioner expeditiously

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to Stay of all further proceedings pursuant to the Speaking Order No. 1559/Cir.20/SLP/GHMC/2023 issued by the 4th respondent till the 2nd respondent dispose of the Revision/Stay Petition filed by the Petitioner against the said Speaking Order

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. MAHADEV ANYARAMBHATLA

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1&2: GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3&4: SRI M.A.K. MUKHEED, SC FOR MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T.VINOD KUMAR

W.P.No.4507 of 2024

ORDER:

After making initial submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner appearing through Hybrid Mode seeks permission of the Court to withdraw the Writ Petition reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach appropriate forum and avail remedies open to him in law.

2. Sri M.A.K.Mukheed, learned Standing Counsel, appearing on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4, has no objection for the aforesaid course of action being adopted by the petitioner.

3. Granting liberty as sought for, the Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

4. Consequently, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- K.SREERAMA MURTHY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Two CCs to GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT ,High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
2. One CC to SRI. MAHADEV ANYARAMBHATLA ,Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI. M.A.K. MUKHEED, STANDING COUNSEL [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

B M
GJP

HIGH COURT

DATED:22/02/2024



ORDER

WP.No.4507 of 2024

DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION AS WITHDRAWN WITHOUT COSTS

(6) VLV 16/3/24