



CRL OP(MD). No.23088 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 27/12/2024

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.23088 of 2024

1.R.Krishna Kumar

2.R.Saravana Kumar

3.C.Ranjith Kumar

...Petitioners/ Accused
Nos.3, 4 & 5

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.21/2024)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.C.M.Arumugam
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Sakthikumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL UNDER SECTION 482 OF BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.21 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/ Accused Nos.3, 4 and 5, who apprehend arrest at the hands of



CRL OP(MD). No.23088 of 2024

the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 120(b), 417, 420, 464, 465, 467, 468, 148, 447, 294(b) and 506(2) IPC in Crime No.21 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to civil dispute regarding the sale deed executed between the accused persons and the defacto complainant in the year 2022, the accused persons trespassed into the farm land of the defacto complainant on 10.11.2023 and thereby intimidated her that they would cause harm to her life. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the accused persons trespassed into the farm land of the defacto complainant and thereby intimidated her that they would cause harm to her life. Hence, he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. However, he fairly submits that there is no previous case as against the petitioners and nobody was injured.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the representation made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and also considering the fact



CRL OP(MD). No.23088 of 2024

that there is a dispute pending between the parties in respect of purchase of properties and even according to the prosecution, the alleged sale transaction took place in the year 2022 and civil suits are also filed by the parties and the same are also pending and also considering the fact that no previous case is pending against the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Dindigul District and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.00 AM until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners



CRL OP(MD). No.23088 of 2024

released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the

WEB COPY

Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under
Section 269 B.N.S.

sd/-
27/12/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/ 01 /2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

ABR

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
NO.II, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

2 DO-THROUGH
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.23088 of 2024

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.23088 of 2024
Date :27/12/2024

PSP/ SKN /SAR /02.01.2025/ 5P/ 5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified
copies in this format from 17/07/2023