



CONT P(MD)No.693 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 26.04.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE **S.SRIMATHY**

CONT P(MD)No.693 of 2024

and

Sub A(MD)No.118 of 2024

A.Alaguraj

... Petitioner

vs.

- 1.A.John Louis, I.A.S,
The Chairman and Managing Director,
Tamilnadu Arasu Cable TV Corporation Limited,
11/22, Nugudasamy Street,
Chennai -34.
- 2.Ramesh Duraisamy/Raja Vignesh Shanmugam/Hari,
The Managing Directors,
Akshaya Diginet,
Mehapparai West,
Mehapparai, Chennai.
- 3.Ramesh Duraisamy/ Raja Vignesh Shanmugam/ Hari,
Akshaya Cable Vision,
E.R.I.Scheme, Golden George Nagar,
Mehepparai East,
Chennai.

... Contemnors



CONT P(MD)No.693 of 2024

WEB COPY

PRAYER: Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Court Act, 1971, to punish the respondents for willfully disobeying and not complying with the order of this Court, dated 22.09.2023, in W.P.(MD)No.8543 of 2021.

For Petitioner : Mr.MARK J.Jackson

For Contemnors : Mr.C.Baskaran
Government Advocate

ORDER

The present contempt petition is filed alleging disobedience of the order, dated 22.09.2023, passed in W.P.(MD)No.8543 of 2021.

2. The said writ petition was filed for issuance of writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents 1 to 3 to direct the 4th respondent to provide the signals to the petitioner's cable TV network by considering the writ petitioner's representation, dated 03.04.2021.

3. While hearing the writ petitioner, the Learned Counsel who appeared for the 1st respondent therein submitted that the petitioner was already granted



CONT P(MD)No.693 of 2024

WEB COPY

another signal. Hence, the petitioner cannot be granted one more signal. The Leaned Counsel who appeared for the respondents 2 and 3 therein also submitted the same facts. Recording their submissions, this Court had held that nothing survives for adjudication and closed the writ petition.

4. The present contempt petition is filed alleging disobedience of the above order. On perusing the affidavit filed along with the contempt petition, it is seen that the petitioner is alleging that the submission of the contemnors is false. The petitioner was already granted another signal is false and hence, the petitioner has filed the present contempt petition.

5. After hearing the submissions, this Court is of the considered opinion that there is no direction to the respondents therein to comply with any directions. This Court has only recorded the submissions and closed the writ petition. Hence, the contempt petition would not lie. If the respondents therein had made false submissions, it is open to the writ petitioner to file a review application, but



CONT P(MD)No.693 of 2024

WEB COPY

contempt will not lie. Hence, the contempt petition is dismissed. No costs.

Consequently, connected sub application is closed.

Index : Yes / No

26.04.2024

Internet : Yes

Tmg



WEB COPY



CONT P(MD)No.693 of 2024

To

- 1.The Chairman and Managing Director,
Tamilnadu Arasu Cable TV Corporation Limited,
11/22, Nugudasamy Street,
Chennai -34.
- 2.The Managing Directors,
Akshaya Diginet,
Mehapparai West,
Mehapparai, Chennai.
3. Akshaya Cable Vision,
E.R.I.Scheme, Golden George Nagar,
Mehepparai East,
Chennai.



WEB COPY



CONT P(MD)No.693 of 2024

S.SRIMATHY, J

Tmg

Order made in
CONT P(MD)No.693 of 2024

26.04.2024