



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21877 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 19.12.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21877 of 2024

S.Arun Christoher

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Chinnakovilankulam,
Tenkasi District.
(In Crime No. 148 of 2024).

2. Seenidurai

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS,
to call for the records relating to the FIR in Crime No.148 of 2024 on the
file of the 1st respondent police and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Sivabalan

For R1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : Mr.S.Vijayakumar



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21877 of 2024

WEB COPY

ORDER

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.148 of 2024 on the file of the first respondent Police.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has drove his Car in a rash and negligent manner and dashed against the defacto complainant, thereby, he suffered fracture on his left hand. Hence this present case.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent Police and on that basis, F.I.R. came to be registered in Crime No.148 of 2024, for the offences under Sections 125(a), 281 of BNS Act, 2023 against the petitioner.

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21877 of 2024

dispute amicably among themselves.

WEB COPY

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise, dated 03.12.2024, has been filed before this Court, which has been signed by the petitioner and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioner and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.B.Ponpandian, HC 881, Chinnakovilankulam, Tenkasi District as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. In the instant case, the parties had compromised their dispute and the victim has also received the compensation amount. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 125(a), 281 of BNS Act, 2023.

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21877 of 2024

WEB COPY

in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Vs. State of Gujarat*** reported in ***(2017) 9 SCC 641*** were taken into consideration.

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.148 of 2024, pending before the first respondent Police, even though, the offence involved is not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.148 of 2024, on the file of the first respondent Police, is quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo, shall form part and parcel of this order.

19.12.2024

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
PNM

To
1. The Inspector of Police,



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21877 of 2024

Madukkur Police Station,
Thanjavur District. Crime No. 148 of 2024.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21877 of 2024

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

PNM

ORDER IN
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21877 of 2024

19.12.2024