



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.21531 & 21544 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 09.12.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.21531 & 21544 of 2024

Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.21531 of 2024

J.Rajesh

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli District,
Tirunelveli.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Panagudi,
Tirunelveli District.

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS,
to direct the second respondent, not to harass the petitioner under the guise
of an enquiry.



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.21531 & 21544 of 2024

Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.21544 of 2024

WEB COPY

J.Rajesh

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Kanniyakumari District,
Tirunelveli.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Asaripallam Police Station,
Asaripallam,
Kanniyakumari District.

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS,
to direct the second respondent, not to harass the petitioner under the guise
of an enquiry.

(In both cases)

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Sivabalan
for M/S.Aran Legal Consultancy

For R-1 & R-2 : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor



COMMON ORDER

WEB COPY

These Criminal Original Petitions have been filed to direct the second respondent, not to harass the petitioner under the guise of an enquiry.

2. The contention of the petitioner is that under the guise of an enquiry, the respondent police are calling upon the petitioner for an enquiry at odd hours and also causing harassment to his family members.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor on instruction from the respondent police submitted that a case in Crime Nos.75 of 2024 and 102 of 2024 have been registered as against the petitioner and both the offences are serious in nature. Since the petitioner is a notorious person, history sheet has been opened by the Inspector of Police, Panagudi Police Station in H.S.No.9 of 2024. Therefore, for the purpose of verification, the respondent police had visited the place of the petitioner and the same cannot be termed as harassment. He further submitted that the petitioner was detained under Tamil Nadu Act 14 and the same was set aside by this Court in H.C.P.(MD)No.451 of 2024 on 19.09.2024.



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.21531 & 21544 of 2024

4. Since the petitioner is a history sheeter, the respondent police have visited the place of the petitioner and the same cannot be termed as harassment. Accordingly, these Criminal Original Petitions stands dismissed.

09.12.2024

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
jbr

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli District,
Tirunelveli.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Panagudi,
Tirunelveli District.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Kanniyakumari District,
Tirunelveli.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Asaripallam Police Station,
Asaripallam,
Kanniyakumari District.



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.21531 & 21544 of 2024

5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.21531 & 21544 of 2024

M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

jbr

Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.21531 & 21544 of 2024

09.12.2024