



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21805 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 12.12.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21805 of 2024

1. Niranjan @ Niranjan John

2. Nishanth

3. Prasanth

... Petitioners

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Thoothukudi North Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
Crime No.77/2021.

2. Edin Pro

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, call for the records in Crime No.77/2021 on the file of the 1st Respondent, dated 18.01.2021 and quash the same as illegal and violation of law.

For Petitioners : Mr.N.Balasubramanian

For R1 : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

For R2 : Mr.A.Nallathambiraj



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21805 of 2024

WEB COPY

ORDER

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.77 of 2021 on the file of the first respondent Police.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity on 18.01.2021 the accused persons abused the defacto complainant and his wife in filthy language, attacked them using pedestal fan. They also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence this present case.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent Police and on that basis, F.I.R. came to be registered in Crime No.77 of 2021, dated 18.01.2021 for the offences under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC against the petitioners.

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21805 of 2024

dispute amicably among themselves.

WEB COPY

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise, dated 11.12.2024, has been filed before this Court, which has been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.S.Jeyakumar, HC 680, Thoothukudi North Police Station, Thoothukudi District, as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. In the instant case, the dispute is of personal in nature and now the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC.

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another* reported in



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21805 of 2024

(2012)10 SCC 303 and Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Vs. State of Gujarat reported in *(2017) 9 SCC 641* were taken into consideration.

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.77 of 2021, pending before the first respondent Police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.77 of 2021, on the file of the first respondent Police, is quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo, shall form part and parcel of this order.

12.12.2024

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
PNM



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21805 of 2024

To
WEB COPY

1. The Inspector of Police,
Thoothukudi North Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
Crime No.77/2021.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21805 of 2024

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

PNM

ORDER IN
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21805 of 2024

12.12.2024