



Cont.P.(MD)No.2403 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 01.02.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN

Contempt Petition(MD)No.2403 of 2023

in

W.P.(MD)No.7176 of 2022

P.Karupusamy

... Petitioner/Petitioner

Vs.

1.Tmt.M.N.Poongodi, I.A.S.,
The District Collector,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.

2.Tmt.Meena,
The Commissioner,
Ottanchatram Municipality,
Ottanchatram,
Dindigul.

.... Contemnor/Respondent

Prayer : Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, to initiate contempt proceedings against contemnors/respondents 1 and 2 in the main writ petition for their wilful disobedience and wilful violation of the order passed by this Court in W.P(MD)No.7176 of 2022, dated 20.04.2023 and punish the respondents for the contempt committed by them.



WEB COPY



Cont.P.(MD)No.2403 of 2023

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Mahesh Babu

For R1 : Mr.Veera Kathiravan
Additional Advocate General

For R2 : Mr.C.Jeganathan

* * * * *

ORDER

This contempt petition has been filed for punishing the respondents for having not obeyed the order of this Court dated 20.04.2023 passed in W.P. (MD) No.7176 of 2022, wherein, this Court has passed the following order:-

“11.Let the project run for a minimum of six months and thereafter, the petitioner may give his representation to the authorities about the difficulties, which he had faced during the six months period. If a representation is given, I am confident that the respondents would address the same and a direction is also given to the respondents to address such representation, if given by the petitioner herein, if necessary, by setting up an expert team to address the representation, not only from the petitioner but also from other residents of the said village. But in the initial stage, let the compost factory be opened up and made functional for atleast six months. Giving that particular liberty to the petitioner herein, I would dispose of the writ petition by giving a direction to pen the compost yard, but at the same time giving that liberty to the petitioner to re-examine and re-visit the entire issue after the period of six months.”



Cont.P.(MD)No.2403 of 2023

WEB COPY

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that as per the direction of this court he has given a representation on 26.09.2023 but the respondents have not complied the orders of this Court.

3. The learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondents would submit that this Court has granted permission for running the project for a minimum of six months and thereafter, the petitioner may give his representation to the authorities about the difficulties, which he had faced during the six months period. But, within the six months, the petitioner has given a representation to the authorities.

4. In view of the above, this contempt petition is closed with liberty to the petitioner to make a representation to the respondents as per the orders of this Court in W.P(MD)No.7176 of 2022 and the same shall be considered by the authorities in the manner known to law.

Index :Yes/No
Internet :Yes/No
am

01.02.2024



Cont.P.(MD)No.2403 of 2023

WEB COPY

To
Tmt.M.N.Poongodi, I.A.S.,
The District Collector,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.



WEB COPY



Cont.P.(MD)No.2403 of 2023

V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN, J.

am

Contempt Petition(MD)No.2403 of 2023

01.02.2024