



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20686 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 27.11.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20686 of 2024

1.Sathishkumar
2.Sakthikumar @ Sakthi
3.Sundharam @ Sundramahalingam
4.Ramar
5.Veeran

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.State rep., by its
The Inspector of Police,
Melu Police Station,
Madurai District.
Crime No.367 of 2022

2.Raja

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the records pertaining to the Crime No.367 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioners	: Mr.S.Ayyanar Prem Kumar
For R1	: Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi Government Advocate(Crl.Side) \
For R2	: Mr.M.Kannan



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20686 of 2024

WEB COPY

ORDER

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.367 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent Police.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 02.07.2022, the petitioners and the defacto complainant were in drunken mood, at that time, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant and also assaulted him with wooden log and thereby, the defacto complainant sustained injury. Hence this present case.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent Police and on that basis, F.I.R. came to be registered in Crime No.367 of 2022, dated 02.07.2022 for the offences under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(2) of IPC against the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20686 of 2024

WEB COPY

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise, dated 20.11.2024, has been filed before this Court, which has been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.AP.Thanapandian, SI of Police, Melur Police Station, Madurai District, as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. In the instant case, where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(2) of IPC.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20686 of 2024

WEB COPY

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Vs. State of Gujarat*** reported in ***(2017) 9 SCC 641*** were taken into consideration.

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.367 of 2022, pending before the first respondent Police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.367 of 2022, on the file of the first respondent Police, is quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo dated 20.11.2024, shall form part and parcel of this order.

27.11.2024

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Rmk



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20686 of 2024

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Melu Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20686 of 2024

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

Rmk

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20686 of 2024

27.11.2024