



WEB COPY

W.P.(MD) No.13432 of 2017

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 17.10.2024

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

W.P.(MD) No.13432 of 2017

and

W.M.P.(MD) Nos.10502 & 10503 of 2017

A.P.Rajendran

... Petitioner

-vs-

1.The Managing Director
State Express Transport Corporation
Tamilnadu Limited, Chennai-2

2.The General Manager (Admin)
State Express Transport Corporation
Tamilnadu Limited, Chennai-2

3.The Branch Manager
Branch Office
State Express Transport Corporation
Tamilnadu Limited, Thanjavur

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of certiorarified mandamus calling for the records pertaining to the proceedings in Ku.No.42287/TL.2/Aa.Vi.Po.Ka/2013 dated 23.06.2015



W.P.(MD) No.13432 of 2017

WEB COPY

passed by the first respondent and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents herein to settle all the payments payable by the respondents to the petitioner on the basis of his representation dated 17.08.2016.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Jameel Arasu

For Respondents : Mr.K.Sathiya Singh
Standing Counsel

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the proceedings of the first respondent, dated 23.06.2015, under which the petitioner's request to settle all the payments payable by the respondents to him based on his representation dated 17.08.2016 has been rejected.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on account of the pendency of the criminal case, the respondent – Transport Corporation did not settle certain payments to the petitioner for his services rendered to the respondent – Transport Corporation. The petitioner is working as a Driver in the respondent – Transport Corporation.



WEB COPY

W.P.(MD) No.13432 of 2017

6. By recording the submissions of both the learned counsels, this Court directs the second respondent to complete the enquiry in respect of the disciplinary proceedings initiated against the petitioner by affording a fair hearing to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Once the Enquiry Officer holds that the petitioner is innocent of the charges framed against him, the second respondent is directed to disburse all the eligible payments payable to the petitioner, which have not been paid earlier on account of his suspension from service, within a period of twelve weeks from the date when the petitioner has proved his innocence with regard to the charges framed against him by the respondent – Corporation in the disciplinary proceedings.

7. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

17.10.2024

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

krk

Page 4 of 5



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.13432 of 2017

ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

krk

W.P.(MD) No.13432 of 2017
and
W.M.P.(MD) Nos.10502 & 10503 of
2017

17.10.2024