



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.26942 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 21.11.2024

CORAM :

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
and
THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE A.D.MARIA CLETE**

**W.P(MD)No.26942 of 2024
and
WMP(MD)Nos.22822 and 22823 of 2024**

1. R.Seeranganachiar

2. S.Jeyanthi

... Petitioners

vs.

1. The District Collector,
Office of Collectorate,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.

2. The Tahsildar,
Aruppukkottai Taluk,
Virudhunagar District.

... Respondents

Prayer : Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records pursuant to impugned order passed by the 2nd respondent in his proceedings dated 07.10.2024 and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Ms.Porkodi Karnan for
Ms.A.Lakshmi

For Respondents : Mr.J.Ashok, Additional Government Pleader



WEB COPY

W.P(MD)No.26942 of 2024



ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **M.S.RAMESH, J.**)

Heard both sides.

2. Final order passed under Section 6 of the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachment Act, 1905 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') is put under challenge in the present writ petition.

3. When the Tahsildar / 2nd respondent was of the view that the petitioner had encroached upon 2.8 square meters out of 44.25 square meters, in Survey No.458/22 at Villipathiri Village, Aruppukkotai Taluk, notice under Section 7 of the Act was issued to the petitioner calling for his objection in this regard. Pursuant to the same, the petitioner herein, had submitted a detailed reply on 18.09.2024 putting forth all his objections to the notice under Section 7 of the Act. In spite of the objections given, the Tahsildar has now passed the impugned proceedings dated 07.10.2024 under Section 6 of the Act, directing him to evict from the subject property.

4. The very object of Section 7 of the Act is to extend an opportunity to the encroachers to give their objections with regard to the proposed eviction proceedings. It is only after such a notice under Section 7 of the Act is given,



the respondents may be empowered to proceed under Section 6. However, while passing such order, there is a duty cast upon them to consider the explanation rendered by the encroachers to the notice given under Section 7.

5. A perusal of the order passed under Section 6 of the Act, dated 07.10.2024 reveals that, though the 2nd respondent seems to have received the explanation given by the petitioner to the notice under Section 7, the same has not been considered. We fail to understand as to how the respondents had come to the conclusion that the petitioner is an encroacher, more particularly, when he has raised objections to the proposed eviction proceedings.

6. In view of the same, the order impugned in the writ petition is deemed to be a non speaking order and hence, cannot be legally sustained. However, we are of the view that the respondents could be granted liberty to consider the petitioner's explanation dated 18.09.2024 and then take further course of action.

7. In the light of the above, the writ petition is partly allowed and the impugned order dated 07.10.2024 is quashed and the matter is remitted back to the 2nd respondent herein, for fresh consideration. The second respondent shall consider the petitioner's explanation dated 18.09.2024 and thereafter take



W.P(MD)No.26942 of 2024

further course of action in accordance with the provisions of the Act and pass a speaking order. Such an exercise shall be completed, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to cost. Consequently connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

(M.S.R, J.) (A.D.M.C, J.)
21.11.2024

Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
bala

To

1. The District Collector,
Office of Collectorate,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.

2. The Tahsildar,
Aruppukkottai Taluk,
Virudhunagar District.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.26942 of 2024

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
A.D.MARIA CLETE, J.

bala

ORDER MADE IN
W.P(MD)No.26942 of 2024
DATED : 21.11.2024