



W.P(MD)No.26348 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 30.10.2024

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR

W.P(MD)No.26348 of 2024

and

W.M.P.(MD)No.22327 of 2024

B.Meenakshi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Inspector General of Registration,
Santhome High Road, Chennai.

2.The District Registrar,
Thanjavur District, Thanjavur.

3.The Joint Sub Registrar No.1,
Sub Registrar's Office,
Thanjavur, Thanjavur District.

4.T.Balathandayutham

... Respondents

PRAAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records relating to the impugned refusal Check Slips issued by the 3rd respondent in Refusal No. RFL/No.1 Joint Sub-Registrar Thanjavur/212/2024, RFL/No.1 Joint Sub-Registrar Thanjavur/213/2024, dated 28.10.2024 and quash the same as illegal and consequently, direct the 3rd respondent to take on file the documents presented by the petitioner in TP/198895419/2024 TP/198897939/2024 and register the same without insisting for the production of the original documents within a time limit fixed by this Court.



W.P(MD)No.26348 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mr.A.K.Amaravel Pandiyan
For Respondents : Mr.P.Subbaraj,
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

By consent of both parties, this Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2.Challenge has been made to the refusal check slip issued by the 3rd respondent dated 28.10.2024.

3.It is the grievance of the petitioner that when she presented the power of attorney deed dated 28.10.2024, it was refused by the 3rd respondent, vide refusal check slip dated 28.10.2024 on the ground that original document has not been produced. Therefore, challenging the same, the petitioner has filed this Writ Petition.

4.The issue raised in this Writ Petition is no longer *res-integra*, in view of the judgment rendered by this Court in the case of ***Subramani vs. the Sub Registrar and others*** [WP.No.11056 of 2024, dated 26.04.2024], in which



it has been held as follows:

WEB COPY

“c. With regard to the refusal on the absence of parent document, this Court in the case of K.S. Vijayendran v. The Inspector General of Registration reported in (2011) 2 LW 648, Lakshmi Ammal v. The Sub Registrar, Villivakkam reported in 2015 SCC OnLine Mad 5868 and C. Moorthy v. Sub Registrar Aruppukottai reported in 2018 SCC OnLine Mad 3898, it was held that absence of a parent document is no ground to refuse registration. Pursuant to these judgments, sub-rule XX was introduced in Rule 162 authorizing the Sub-Registrar to refuse registration for non-production of the original title deed as required by Rule 55-A. This Court in the case of Federal Bank v Sub-Registrar, reported in 2023 2 CTC 289 has held that Sub-Rule XX of Rule 162 has no statutory backing. The said order has been followed by a Division Bench of this Court in the case of M. Ariyanatchi v Inspector General made in W.A.(MD).No. 856 of 2023, dated 27.06.2023, wherein, Division Bench of this Court has held that, for instance, the original document is held by one co-owner, the Sub-Registrar can always take an undertaking or a declaration in the form of an affidavit from the vendors to the effect that the original document is with the said person and register the document. Hence, the Sub-Registrar cannot refuse to register a document merely because the original parent deed has not been produced.

Considering the above settled position of law, the Registrar cannot refuse to register the document merely on the ground of non production of parent document.”

5.In such view of the matter, the refusal made by the respondent on the ground that original document has not been produced, cannot be sustained in the eye of law. Therefore, the same is liable to be quashed, accordingly, it is quashed. This Writ Petition is allowed with a direction to the respondent to register the document presented by the petitioner, within a period of one week



W.P(MD)No.26348 of 2024

from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs. Consequently,
connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

30.10.2024

NCC : Yes / No

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

Yuva

To

- 1.The Inspector General of Registration,
Santhome High Road, Chennai.
- 2.The District Registrar,
Thanjavur District, Thanjavur.
- 3.The Joint Sub Registrar No.1,
Sub Registrar's Office,
Thanjavur, Thanjavur District.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.26348 of 2024

N.SATHISH KUMAR, J

Yuva

W.P(MD)No.26348 of 2024

30.10.2024