



CRL OP(MD). No.17607 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 17/10/2024

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP(MD). No.17607 of 2024

1. Vinoth
2. Rethinam
- 3.Palaniyammal
4. Shobana
- 5.Elango @ Elangovan

... Petitioners/ Accused Nos.1 to 5

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep By,
The Inspector of Police,
Manapparai Police Station,
Trichy District.
(CrimeNo.445 of 2024.)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.Sathyachidambaram, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.A.Albert James,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.445 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.17607 of 2024

WLB COPY
ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 5, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) and 191(2) of BNS 2023 and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in Crime No.445 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to family dispute that arose between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, the petitioners herein attacked the defacto complainant with wooden log and abused her by using filthy language. Hence, the complaint.

3. Heard. Perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks for anticipatory bail.

5. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that due to family dispute arose between the



CRL OP(MD). No.17607 of 2024

WEB COPY

petitioners and the defacto complainant, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant. He would further submit that the injured has been discharged from the Hospital.

6. Considering the nature of allegations that the first petitioner, who is the mother-in-law of the defacto complainant, attacked the defacto complainant with wooden logs and considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail with certain conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai, Trichy District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties (Common Surety) each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar



CRL OP(MD). No.17607 of 2024

card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(C) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
17/10/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S.I /II /III /IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TSG



CRL OP(MD). No.17607 of 2024

To

WEB COPY

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Manapparai, Trichy District.

2.Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Trichy District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Manapparai Police Station,
Trichy District.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.S.SATHYA CHIDAMBARAM, Advocate (SR-12721[I] dated
19/10/2024)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.17607 of 2024
Date :17/10/2024

ED/ MMS /SAR- (15/11/2024) 5P / 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023