



W.P.(MD).No.9713 of 2017

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 19.12.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

W.P.(MD).No.9713 of 2017

and

W.M.P.(MD).No.7459 of 2017

S. Poovammal

...Petitioner

Vs

- 1.State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Secretary,
Food/Co-Operation/Civil Supplies,
Secretariat,
Fort. St.George,
Chennai.
- 2.Tamil Nadu Civil Supplies Corporation,
Rep by its Managing Director,
12, Thambusamy Road,
Kilpauk,
Chennai – 600 010.
3. The Regional Manager,
Tamilnadu Civil Supplies Corporation,
Tirunelveli.
4. N.Kumarsan

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records in relation to the order passed by the first



W.P.(MD).No.9713 of 2017

respondent vide., Letter No.7495/A1/2016-3 dated 13.10.2016, quash the same and consequently direct the first respondent to take appropriate action as against the fourth respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.Niranjan S. Kumar

For Respondents : Mr.R.Suresh Kumar
Additional Government Pleader
for R1

Mr.G.Mohankumar for R2 & R3

Mr.T.Balaji for R4

ORDER

Heard Mr.Niranjan S. Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr.R.Suresh Kumar, learned Additional Government Pleader for the 1st respondent, Mr.G.Mohankumar, learned counsel for the respondents 2 & 3 and Mr.T.Balaji, learned counsel for the 4th respondent.

2. This Writ Petition has been filed challenging the order passed by the first respondent dated 13.10.2016 and to consequently direct the first respondent to take appropriate action as against the fourth respondent.

3. The learned counsel for the respondents would submit that the entire period of contract was over as early as in the year 2017.



W.P.(MD).No.9713 of 2017

WEB COPY

4. A further reading of the impugned order reveals that the petitioner had claimed cancellation of the contract awarded to the fourth respondent and sought to blacklist them. However, the contract period has long since expired, and this Court cannot issue directions to blacklist a particular contractor. It is for the employer engaging such contractors to take appropriate action to blacklist the contractor concerned for any violations. Moreover, the official respondents do not allege that there was any infraction of the tender awarded to the fourth respondent.

5. In such view of the matter, I do not find any merits in the Writ Petition. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

19.12.2024

NCC:yes/no
Index:yes/no
Internet:yes/no
Nsr



W.P.(MD).No.9713 of 2017

To:

WEB COPY

1. The Secretary,
Food/Co-Operation/Civil Supplies,
Secretariat,
Fort. St. George,
Chennai.
2. The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu Civil Supplies Corporation,
12, Thambusamy Road,
Kilpauk,
Chennai – 600 010.
3. The Regional Manager,
Tamilnadu civil Supplies Corporation,
Tirunelveli.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD).No.9713 of 2017

K.KUMARESH BABU, J.

Nsr

W.P.(MD).No.9713 of 2017

19.12.2024