



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15299 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 19.09.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15299 of 2024

and

Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.9585 and 9586 of 2024

S.Kanaga

... Petitioner

Vs.

S.Allim

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 B.N.S.S., to call for the records vide S.T.C.No.76 of 2024 pending on the file of Judicial Magistrate, Fast Track Court, Thanjavur under Section 138 of Negotiable Instrument Act and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Muthusamy

ORDER

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed, invoking Section 528 B.N.S.S., seeking orders to quash the private complaint in S.T.C.No. 76 of 2024 pending on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Fast Track Court, Thanjavur.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15299 of 2024

WEB COPY

2. The respondent has filed a private complaint against the petitioner under Section 200 Cr.P.C. for the alleged offence under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act and the learned Magistrate, after completing necessary formalities, has taken the case on file in S.T.C.No.76 of 2024 and is pending on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Fast Track Court, Thanjavur.

3. The case of the respondent is that there existed sale transaction between the petitioner and the respondent, that the petitioner had issued a cheque dated 09.10.2023 for Rs.40,00,000/- to the respondent, that when the respondent has presented the cheque for collection on 04.01.2024, the same was dishonored with reason “Stopped by Drawyer”, that the respondent has then sent a statutory notice dated 20.01.2024 to the petitioner demanding repayment of the amount covered by the cheque, that the petitioner has sent a reply notice on 25.01.2024 and that thereafter the respondent has filed a private complaint for the offence under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15299 of 2024

that the petitioner has lodged a complaint on 10.10.2023 with the police as well as with her banker that one cheque was found missing and that the respondent, by using the stolen cheque, has presented the same and after getting return of the same, the present complaint came to be lodged. He would further submit that the impugned cheque is a stolen cheque and the petitioner has immediately lodged the complaint, that the complaint of the respondent is false and motivated one and that in order to make unlawful gain, the respondent has lodged the complaint and made the petitioner to travel all along from Chennai.

5. Regarding the contention of the petitioner that the impugned cheque is a stolen cheque and consequent lodging of complaint, cannot be gone into, in the present quash proceedings and it is matter for trial. Whether the complaint lodged by the respondent is false and motivated one can only be decided at the end of the trial. Except the above, the petitioner has not canvassed any other reason or ground to impugn the private complaint.

6. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *State of Haryana*



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15299 of 2024

and others Vs. Bhajan Lal and others reported in **1992 SCC (Cri) 426**

WEB COPY

has enumerated 7 categories of cases, where the power can be exercised under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure and the same are extracted hereunder:-

“102. In the backdrop of the interpretation of the various relevant provisions of the Code under Chapter XIV and of the principles of law enunciated by this Court in a series of decisions relating to the exercise of the extraordinary power under Article 226 or the inherent powers under Section 482 of the Code which we have extracted and reproduced above, we give the following categories of cases by way of illustration wherein such power could be exercised either to prevent abuse of the process of any court or otherwise to secure the ends of justice, though it may not be possible to lay down any precise, clearly defined and sufficiently channelised and inflexible guidelines or rigid formulae and to give an exhaustive list of myriad kinds of cases wherein such power should be exercised.

(1) where the allegations made in the First Information Report or the complaint, even if they are taken at their face value and accepted in their entirety do not prima facie constitute any offence or make out a case against the accused;



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15299 of 2024

(2) where the allegations in the First Information Report and other materials, if any, accompanying the F.I.R. do not disclose a cognizable offence, justifying an investigation by police officers under Section 156(1) of the Code except under an order of a Magistrate within the purview of Section 155(2) of the Code;

(3) where the uncontroverted allegations made in the FIR or 'complaint and the evidence collected in support of the same do not disclose the commission of any offence and make out a case against the accused;

(4) where the allegations in the FIR do not constitute a cognizable offence but constitute only a non-cognizable offence, no investigation is permitted by a police officer without an order of a Magistrate as contemplated under Section 155(2) of the Code;

(5) where the allegations made in the FIR or complaint are so absurd and inherently improbable on the basis of which no prudent person can ever reach a just conclusion that there is sufficient ground for proceeding against the accused;

(6) where there is an express legal bar engrafted in any of the provisions of the Code or the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15299 of 2024

concerned Act (under which a criminal proceeding is instituted) to the institution and continuance of the proceedings and/or where there is a specific provision in the Code or the concerned Act, providing efficacious redress for the grievance of the aggrieved party;

(7) where a criminal proceeding is manifestly attended with mala fide and/or where the proceeding is maliciously instituted with an ulterior motive for wreaking vengeance on the accused and with a view to spite him due to private and personal grudge.”

7. In ***Dr.Dhruvaram Murlidhar Sonar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and others*** reported in ***2019 (18) SCC 191***, the Hon'ble Apex Court has specifically held that exercise of powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to quash the proceedings is an exception and not a rule. It is settled law that the inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is wide but at the same time, the same is to be exercised sparingly, carefully and with caution and only when such exercise is justified by the tests specifically laid down in the Section itself.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15299 of 2024

WEB COPY

8. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Kaptan Singh Vs. The State of Uttar Pradesh and others*** reported in ***2021 (3) Crimes 247*** has stated that, that Court in catena of decisions has observed that the High Court is not required to go into the merits of the allegations and/or enter into the merits of the case as if the High Court is exercising the appellate jurisdiction and/or conducting the trial and that question is required to be examined keeping in view, the contents of FIR/complaint and *prima facie* materials, if any, requiring no proof and at such stage, the High Court cannot appreciate evidence nor can it draw its own inferences from contents of FIR/complaint and materials relied on.

9. A cursory perusal of the private complaint and the documents filed along with the private complaint would make it clear that there existed a *prima facie* case to proceed against the petitioner.

10. Considering the above and also taking note of the fact that this is not a fit case to quash the charge sheet against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant the relief claimed.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15299 of 2024

11. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

19.09.2024

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
csm

To
1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Fast Track Court, Thanjavur.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15299 of 2024



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15299 of 2024

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.

csn

Order made in
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15299 of 2024
and
Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.9585 and 9586 of 2024

Dated: 19.09.2024