



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14996 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 26.09.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14996 of 2024

1.Rajesh

2.Kamalakannan

3.Palpandi

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The State of Tamilnadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Samayanallur Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.90 of 2024)

2.Boobalakrishnan

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 B.N.S.S., to call for the entire records pertaining to the case in Crime No.90 of 2024 pending on the file of the Inspector of Police, Samayanallur Police Station, Madurai and quash the same as far as the petitioner is concerned.

For Petitioners : Mr.B.P.Raghavan

For R1 : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R2 : Mr.R.L.Dhilipan Pandian



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14996 of 2024

WEB COPY

ORDER

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed, invoking Section 528 B.N.S.S., seeking orders to quash the FIR in Crime No.90 of 2024 dated 08.04.2024 pending on the file of the first respondent.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners abused the second respondent in filthy language and assaulted him. Hence, the second respondent lodged a complaint.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent and on that basis, FIR came to be registered in Crime No.90 of 2024 dated 08.04.2024 for the offences under Sections 341, 294(b) and 323 IPC against the petitioners.

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14996 of 2024

amicably among themselves.

WEB COPY

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.M.Vijayakumaran, Head Constable, Samayanallur Police Station, as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. In the instant case, the dispute is of personal in nature and now the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 341, 294(b) and 323 IPC.

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of***



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14996 of 2024

WEB COPY

Gujrath) reported in (2017)9 SCC 641 were taken into consideration.

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.90 of 2024 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.90 of 2024 on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed against the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

26.09.2024

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
csm



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14996 of 2024

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Samayanallur Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14996 of 2024

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.

csn

Order made in
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14996 of 2024

Dated: 26.09.2024