



CRL OP(MD) No.14953 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09/09/2024

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP(MD). No.14953 of 2024

A.Taisan,

... Petitioner/ Accused No.2

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu,
The Inspector of Police,
Soorankudi Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
Crime No.142/2022..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Manimaran N.A.
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.A.Albert James,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 482 BNSS

PRAYER :-

To enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police for the petitioner / Accused no.2 in C.C No. 96/2024 in Special Principal District and Sessions Judge for EC and NDPS Act cases, Madurai on the file of ..



CRL OP(MD) No.14953 of 2024

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w. 20(b)(ii)(c), 25 and 29(i) of NDPS Act, in Crime.No.142 of 2022 in C.C.No.96 of 2024, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the respondent police intercepted the petitioner and other accused, recovered 540kgs of Ganja.

3. Heard. Perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

4. This is a case under the NDPS Act involving commercial quantity. The allegation is that in an abandoned vehicle, the respondent police seized 540kgs of ganja. Therefore, in the normal circumstances, this Court will not enlarge any person on anticipatory bail and would require custodial interrogation. But however, in this case, it seems that upon arrest of one particular accused, based on his confession, totally 20 persons were arrayed as accused. Many of them were not even apprehended and a charge sheet was filed and the same is taken on file as C.C.No.991 of 2023. Thereafter also, many of the accused were not apprehended,



CRL OP(MD) No.14953 of 2024

WEB COPY

which included the petitioner herein and therefore, the case was split up. In respect of the accused, who were appearing before the trial court, the Trial proceeded and the 11 accused were acquitted of the charges by a judgement dated 30.07.2024. Even thereafter, the split up case in C.C.No.96 of 2024 is still pending. It is stated that some of the accused in the said case are still absconding. Till date, nobody is apprehended by the respondent police also. At this stage, the petitioner is coming forward to appear before the Court below on all hearings. Only considering the progress of the criminal case and considering the various findings which are rendered in the judgment of the Special Court in earlier C.C.No.991 of 2023, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail with certain conditions.

5. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Special Principal District and Sessions Judge for EC and NDPS Act Cases, Madurai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:



CRL OP(MD) No.14953 of 2024

WEB COPY

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on all hearing dates without fail.

[c] Since the other accused have to be apprehended, the petitioner shall also report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 p.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance



CRL OP(MD) No.14953 of 2024

with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
09/09/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/09/2024

Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP
TO

- 1 THE SPECIAL PRINCIPAL DISTRICT
AND SESSIONS JUDGE FOR EC AND NDPS ACT CASES,
MADURAI.
- 2 THE STATE OF TAMILNADU
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SOORANKUDI POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.NA.MANIMARAN, Advocate (SR-11048[I] dated 09/09/2024)



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD) No.14953 of 2024

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.14953 of 2024
Date :09/09/2024

SS/VR/SAR- /24/09/2024/6P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023