



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13377 of 2024*

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 27.08.2024

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR**

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13377 of 2024

1.Pandiammal

2.Sundaram

3.Saratha

... Petitioners/A1 to A3

Vs.

1.State rep by

The Sub-Inspector of Police,  
Tirumangalam Taluk Police Station,  
Madurai District.  
Crime No.252 of 2016.

2.R.Pappathi

... Respondents

**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the records relating to the impugned FIR in Crime No.252 of 2016 on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same as illegal, violation of law as against the petitioners/A1 to A3.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Jothi Basu

For R1 : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy  
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R2 : Mr.D.Thilaga Rani



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13377 of 2024*

**ORDER**

WEB COPY

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed, invoking Section 528 B.N.S.S., seeking orders to quash the FIR in Crime No.252 of 2016 dated 04.09.2016 pending on the file of the first respondent.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners cheated the defacto complainant by giving false promise that they will arrange to get a job at abroad, but, they did not do the same and not return back the money. Hence, the complaint.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent and on that basis, FIR came to be registered in Crime No.252 of 2016 dated 04.09.2016 for the offences under Sections 406 and 420 IPC against the petitioners.

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13377 of 2024*

WEB COPY

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise dated 06.07.2024 has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.M.Kaliappan, Special Sub Inspector of Police, Tirumangalam Taluk Police Station, Madurai District as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. In the instant case, the dispute is of personal in nature and now the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 406 and 420 IPC.

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath*** reported in ***(2017)9 SCC 641*** were taken into consideration.



***Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13377 of 2024***

**WEB COPY**

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.252 of 2016 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.252 of 2016 on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo dated 06.07.2024 shall form part and parcel of this order.

**27.08.2024**

NCC : Yes / No  
Index : Yes / No  
Internet : Yes / No  
das

To

1.The Sub-Inspector of Police,  
Tirumangalam Taluk Police Station,  
Madurai District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13377 of 2024*

**K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.**

das

Order made in  
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13377 of 2024

Dated: 27.08.2024