



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14024 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 26.09.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14024 of 2024

1.Chitra
2.Vijayarani @ Jayanthirani
3.Viji @ Vijayalakshmi ... Petitioners

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Bazar Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.386 of 2022)

2.Sarmila @ Sarmila Tagore ... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 B.N.S.S.,
to call for the records pertaining to the FIR in Crime No.386 of 2022
dated 18.11.2022 on the file of the first respondent police station and
quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Vikram

For R1 : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R2 : Mr.B.Mahendrarajan



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14024 of 2024

WEB COPY

ORDER

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed, invoking Section 528 B.N.S.S., seeking orders to quash the FIR in Crime No.386 of 2022 dated 18.11.2022 pending on the file of the first respondent.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there existed land dispute between the parties and that on 17.11.2022 at about 10.30 a.m., the petitioners abused the second respondent in filthy language and assaulted him. Hence, the second respondent lodged a complaint.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent and on that basis, FIR came to be registered in Crime No.386 of 2022 dated 18.11.2022 for the offences under Sections 341, 294(b) and 323 IPC against the petitioners.

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14024 of 2024

amicably among themselves.

WEB COPY

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise dated 02.07.2024 has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.P.Babu, Special Sub Inspector, Bazar Police Station, as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. In the instant case, the dispute is of personal in nature and now the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 341, 294(b) and 323 IPC.

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of***



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14024 of 2024

WEB COPY

Gujrath) reported in (2017)9 SCC 641 were taken into consideration.

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.386 of 2022 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.386 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed against the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo dated 02.07.2024 shall form part and parcel of this order.

26.09.2024

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
csm



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14024 of 2024

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Bazar Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14024 of 2024

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.

csn

Order made in
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14024 of 2024

Dated: 26.09.2024