



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.705 of 2021*

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 17.04.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.A.NAKKIRAN

**Crl.O.P.(MD)No.705 of 2021**

1.Chellapandi  
2.Nagalingam  
3.Chinnan @ Rameshkumar  
4.Minor Saravanan  
rep by his father Mr.Nagalingam  
5.Arakku @ Nagaraj  
6.Ranjith @ Ranjithkumar

...Petitioners

Vs.

1.The Inspector of Police,  
Usilampatti Town Police Station,  
Madurai District.  
(Crime No.811 of 2019)

2.Logeswaran

.... Respondents

**PRAYER:** This Criminal original Petition is filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., to call for the records relating to the case in Crime No.801 of 2020 on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent and to quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr. K.K.Samy

For 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi  
Additional Public Prosecutor

For 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent : Mr. Raghul Priyan



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.705 of 2021*

**ORDER**

WEB COPY

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in No.801 of 2020 on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent as against the petitioners.

2. The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.801 of 2020 for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(2) IPC against the petitioners.

3. The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4. The petitioners and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl. Side) and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.705 of 2021*

and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5. Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 16.09.2020, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in Crime No.801 of 2020 pending on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent police is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.

6. In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.801 of 2020, pending on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

**17.04.2024**

Index : Yes / No  
Internet : Yes / No  
trp



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.705 of 2021*

WEB COPY

To

1. All Women Police Station,  
Aruppukkottai,  
Virudhunagar District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.



WEB COPY



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.705 of 2021*

**A.A.NAKKIRAN, J.,**

trp

Order made in  
**Crl.O.P.(MD)No.705 of 2021**

Dated :17.04.2024