



W.P.(MD) No.18672 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 05.08.2024

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE P.T.ASHA

W.P.(MD) No.18672 of 2024

M.Nainamohammed

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Revenue Officer,
District Revenue Office,
Pudukkottai District, Pudukkottai.

2.S.Vivekanandhan

3.V.Subbaiahpandaram

.. Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 12.12.2023 to rectify the mistakes in Patta Nos.288 and 60 in respect of S.Nos.24/1B and 24/2 situate at Sadhanendhal Village, Aranthangi Taluk, Pudukkottai District within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Jothiraj

For Respondent-1 : Mr.D.S.Nedunchezhiyan
Government Advocate



W.P.(MD) No.18672 of 2024

WEB COPY

ORDER

This petition has been filed for a mandamus directing the first respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 12.12.2023 and rectify the mistakes in Patta Nos.288 and 60 in respect of S.Nos.24/1B and 24/2 situate at Sathanendhal Village, Aranthangi Taluk, Pudukkottai District.

2. The petitioner's contention is that these lands besides larger extent of lands in Neivanthali Village and Sathanenthal Village in Aranthangi Taluk belonged to his grandfather Kadharsa Rawther. In the year 1937, the legal heirs of Kadharsa Rawther, which included the petitioner's father Maideen Pitchai, had entered into a registered family partition and the lands in the above villages were partitioned. The petitioner's father became the absolute owner of the aforesaid survey numbers. The settlement register and other records reflect the name of the petitioner's father. After the demise of the petitioner's father, the petitioner has been in enjoyment of the said lands and when he had



W.P.(MD) No.18672 of 2024

verified the computer patta, he was surprised to see that the same had been mutated in the names of respondents 2 and 3. The same has been done without any notice to the petitioner or his father, though the entries in 'A' Register etc., stand in the name of his father.

3. Be that as it may, the petitioner had submitted a representation on 12.12.2023 to the first respondent to rectify the mistake in the UDR patta, as it appears that the error had crept in while the revenue records were being updated. It appears that to date there is no action forthcoming in the representation of the petitioner and therefore, the petitioner has been constrained to approach this Court.

4. Heard Mr.R.Jothiraj, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.D.S.Nedunchezhiyan, learned Government Advocate appearing for the first respondent.

5. In the light of the request made by the petitioner and after hearing the learned Government Advocate, this Court directs the first



W.P.(MD) No.18672 of 2024

respondent to pass orders on the representation of the petitioner dated 12.12.2023 on or before 22.08.2024, after giving due notice to respondents 2 and 3 and after affording them a personal hearing.

6. With the above direction, this Writ Petition is allowed. No costs.

7. Post the writ petition on 23.08.2024 'for reporting compliance'.

05.08.2024

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes

abr

To

The District Revenue Officer,
District Revenue Office,
Pudukkottai District, Pudukkottai.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.18672 of 2024

P.T.ASHA, J.

abr

W.P.(MD) No.18672 of 2024

Dated: 05.08.2024