



WP(MD) No.18107 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: **01.08.2024**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

W.P.(MD) No.18107 of 2024

and

W.M.P(MD)Nos.15467 & 15470 of 2024

A.Manjula

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu ,
Rep by its Principal Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
Fort. St. George, Secretariat,
Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Director of School Education,
School Education Department,
Chennai – 600 006.

3.The Joint Director (Vocation) Education,
School Education Department,
College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.



WP(MD) No.18107 of 2024

WEB COPY

4.The Chief Accounts Officer,
Commissioner of School Education,
Chennai - 600 006.

5.The District Educational Officer (Secondary)
O/o. The District Educational Office,
Kovilpatti,
Thoothukudi District.

7.The Headmaster,
Government Higher Secondary School,
Vanaramutti,
Kovilpatti,
Thoothukudi District.

...Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records relating to the impugned order issued by the 6th respondent vide his impugned proceedings in Na.Ka.No.24/2023 dated 30.01.2023 and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Mohamed Zamil
for M/s.Ajmal Associates
For Respondents : Mr.T.Amjad Khan
Government Advocate



WP(MD) No.18107 of 2024

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner has filed this writ petition challenging the impugned order issued by the 6th respondent vide his impugned proceedings in Na.Ka.No.24/2023 dated 30.01.2023.

2.Heard Mr.S.Mohamed Zamil, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.Amjad Khan, learned Government Advocate for the respondents.

3. By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

4.The petitioner is working as a Computer Instructor at the sixth respondent School and his pay scale has been fixed based on the National Council for Teacher Education norms. Subsequently, based on the audit objection, the sixth respondent has issued the impugned demand notice, dated 30.01.2023 stating that the pay scale of the petitioner has been wrongly fixed and therefore, the excess amount should have been recovered. Challenging the same, the petitioner has filed this present writ petition.



WP(MD) No.18107 of 2024

5.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the recovery order has been made without even putting the petitioner on notice. The petitioner was not even aware of the details of the audit objection.

6.The issue in hand is no longer a *res-integra*. The issue of recovery raised in this writ petition has already been discussed in the earlier judgment of this Court, dated 08.03.2024 in **W.P.No.27205 of 2024** etc., batch, in the case of ***M.Rajamohan vs. The Government of Tamil Nadu, rep. by its Secretary, School Education Department*** and others and in which, after an elaborate discussion, it has been held as follows:-

“7.Admittedly, the pay scale was fixed not on the request made by the petitioners and not on the fault committed by the petitioners and not on the false representation of the petitioners. Further insofar as pay scale is concerned as per government order, now their pay scale has been revised and they have been paid salary as per the revised salary. That apart, the post of Computer Instructor Grade-II has carried time scale of Rs.9,300-34,800+Grade Pay Rs.4,600. The post was upgraded as Computer Instructors Grade-I, and only the grade pay of Rs.200/- alone



WEB COPY



WP(MD) No.18107 of 2024

excess in the grade pay to Computer Instructors Grade-I.

....

9. Therefore, the petitioners are not entitled for pay scale equivalent to P.G. Assistant and they are entitled for the pay scale in the cadre of Computer Instructor Grade-I. However, the excess amount which was already paid to the petitioners cannot be recovered, since it was not paid due to their representation or due to their fault.

10. In view of the above discussions, it cannot be said that the order passed by the Chief Accounts Officer, Chennai, finds with any infirmity. However, the orders passed by the Headmaster of the respective schools, insofar as the recovery of excess salary, cannot be sustained and it is liable to be quashed. ...”

7. In view of the aforesaid reasons, the writ petition stands **allowed** and the order passed by the sixth respondent in his proceedings in Na.Ka.No.24/2023 dated 30.01.2023 is hereby quashed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

01.08.2024

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
RM



WP(MD) No.18107 of 2024

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu ,
Rep by its Principal Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
Fort. St. George,
Secretariat,
Chennai - 600 009.
- 2.The Director of School Education,
School Education Department,
Chennai – 600 006.
- 3.The Joint Director (Vocation) Education,
School Education Department,
College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.
- 4.The Chief Accounts Officer,
Commissioner of School Education,
Chennai - 600 006.
- 5.The District Educational Officer (Secondary)
O/o. The District Educational Office,
Kovilpatti,
Thoothukudi District.
- 7.The Headmaster,
Government Higher Secondary School,
Vanaramutti,
Kovilpatti,
Thoothukudi District.



WEB COPY



WP(MD) No.18107 of 2024

R.N.MANJULA, J.

RM

W.P.(MD)No.18107 of 2024

01.08.2024