



W.P(MD)No.17322 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 05.03.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P(MD)No.17322 of 2023

Rajmohan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Revenue Officer,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
CSCID – Madurai,
Pudukkottai Police Station,
Pudukkottai.

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to entrust the interim custody of the petitioner's vehicle by branding name Ashok Leyland Dost bearing registration No.TN 55 BZ 3054, seized in connection FIR No.74 of 2023 on the file of the 2nd respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Rameshkumar

For Respondents : Mr.M.Lingadurai,
Spl. Government Pleader for R1.
Mr.Albert James,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side) for R2.



W.P(MD)No.17322 of 2023

WEB COPY

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the first respondent and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the second respondent.

2. The petition mentioned vehicle was seized in connection with Crime No.74 of 2023 registered on the file of the second respondent.

3. The petition mentioned vehicle is presently in the custody of the second respondent. The vehicle is said to have been used for illegally transporting PDS rice.

4. It is of course open to the respondent authority to initiate confiscation proceedings. In this case, we are concerned only with the issue of granting interim custody of the vehicle to the petitioner.

5. No purpose will be served in keeping the vehicle under the custody of the respondents. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the decision reported in (2002)



W.P(MD)No.17322 of 2023

10 SCC 283 (Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai and Others V. State of Gujarat) has held as follows:-

“17.In our view, whatever be the situation, it is of no use to keep such seized vehicles at the police stations for a long period. It is for the Magistrate to pass appropriate orders immediately by taking appropriate bond and guarantee as well as security for return of the said vehicles, if required at any point of time. This can be done pending hearing of applications for return of such vehicles.

6. Therefore, the second respondent is directed to grant interim custody of the said vehicle to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:-

a) *The petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle till the proceedings are completed.*

b) *The petitioner shall produce all the documents pertaining to the ownership of the seized vehicle. If the petitioner is an agreement holder, he can produce the relevant xerox copies.*

c) *As and when the respondents call for the vehicle for enquiry, the petitioner has to produce the vehicle in question and he shall cooperate with the enquiry to be conducted by the respondents.*



W.P(MD)No.17322 of 2023

WEB COPY

7. Upon completion of these formalities, the respondents shall grant interim custody of the vehicle forthwith to the petitioner without any delay. If this undertaking given by the petitioner is breached, the petitioner will not be entitled to interim custody of the vehicle in future.

8. The Writ Petition is allowed accordingly. I make it clear that allowing of this writ petition will not have any bearing on the confiscation proceedings that may be initiated by the authorities. There shall be no order as to costs.

05.03.2024

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
ias

To:

1.The District Revenue Officer,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
CSCID – Madurai,
Pudukkottai Police Station,
Pudukkottai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.17322 of 2023



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.17322 of 2023

G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.

ias

W.P(MD)No.17322 of 2023

05.03.2024