



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 30.10.2024

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE J.SATHYA NARAYANA PRASAD

W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

R.Chellam

: Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Secretary to Government,
Environment and Forests Department,
Secretariat, Chennai 9.

2.The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests,
Jeenis Building,
Panagal Maligai, Saidapet,
Chennai 600 015.

3.The Chief Conservator of Forests,
Tirunelveli Forest Circle,
NGO Colony,
Tirunelveli, Tirunelveli District.

: Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records on the file of the 3rd respondent in connection with the impugned order passed by him vide his proceedings in Se.Mu.Aa.No. Pa1/6531/2018 dated 04.09.2020 and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and lack of jurisdiction and consequently direct the 1st and 2nd



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

respondents to pay the petitioner salary, increment and other monetary benefits in the post of as Assistant Conservator of Forests from 30.09.2011 to 30.04.2015 and to pay enhanced pension in the light of the order passed by this Honble Court in W.P.(MD).No. 8015 of 2013 dated 08.07.2015 as well as G.O.(D) No.119, Environment & Forests (FR-I) Department dated 15.05.2019.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Thalaimutharasu

For Respondents : Mr.J.K.Jeyaseelan

Government Advocate

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the proceedings of the third respondent in Se.Mu.Aa.No. Pa1/6531/2018 dated 04.09.2020 and for a consequential direction to the first and second respondents to pay the petitioner's salary, increment and other monetary benefits in the post of as Assistant Conservator of Forests from 30.09.2011 to 30.04.2015 and to pay enhanced pension in the light of the order passed by this Honble Court in W.P.(MD).No. 8015 of 2013 dated 08.07.2015 as well as G.O.(D) No.119, Environment & Forests (FR-I) Department dated 15.05.2019.

2. The case of the petitioner is that he was joined in the



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

respondent department as Forester on 02.03.1979 and subsequently promoted as Forest Range Officer on 01.07.1998 and promoted to the post of Assistant Conservator of Forests by the first respondent vide G.O. (D)No.119, Environment & Forests (FR-I) Department, dated 15.05.2019, with effect from 2011 and retired from service on 30.04.2015 on attaining superannuation.

3. The petitioner was issued with a Show Cause Notice under Rule 17 (a) by the Deputy Director-cum-Wildlife Warden, Project Tiger, Ambasamudram, vide his proceedings in Na.Ka.No.662/06, dated 29.6.2007, seeking his explanation for the non-plantation of 13,108 saplings in Kanavur, Kadaiyam Range and caused a loss of Rs.1,36,148/- to the Government. But the Show Cause notice was withdrawn by the Member Secretary/Chief Executive Officer, Forest Development Wing, Kalakkadu - Mundanthurai Project Tiger and Deputy Director, Project Tiger, Ambasamudram vide his order in Na.Ka.No.P2/5012/2007 dated 31.08.2007.

4. The petitioner was issued with two more charge memos under 17(b) vide by the proceedings of the Chief Conservator of Forests



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

and Field Director, Project Tiger, Tirunelveli, in C.No.E/5849/2006, dated 24.3.2009 and in Na.Ka.No.Pa.2/2025/2009, dated 06.04.2009, by withdrawing one and another. Despite the charge memos were being a baseless one, the petitioner extended his fullest cooperation to the enquiry officer. But the enquiry officer without conducting the enquiry under the due process of law, submitted his report before the second respondent. However, no final order was passed by the second respondent for the past two years from the date of withdrawal of the Enquiry Report.

5. The name of the petitioner was included in the panel for promotion to the post of Assistant Conservator of Forests for the year 2011-12 by the second respondent vide his proceedings in Ref. No.A2/19710/10 dated 12.09.2011 and his name was placed at Serial No. 49. In the said proceedings itself, it was stated that if there is any charge sheet or punishment pending as on date then the same cannot be effected. In view of the pendency of the above charge, the petitioner was not promoted. Therefore, he sent a detailed representation to the second respondent on 03.10.2011 and requested him to drop the charges as they are baseless and promote him to the post of Assistant Conservator of



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

Forests along with his batchmates.

6. It is the further case of the petitioner that since the second respondent did not finalize the enquiry and kept it pending for more than four years, he was deprived from getting promotion and therefore, he approached this Court by way of filing a writ petition in W.P.(MD)No. 6491 of 2013 and this Court by order dated 09.04.2013 directed the second respondent to expedite the disciplinary proceedings as early as possible. However, the second respondent issued an order of recovery of Rs.1,95,943/- in 24 equal installments vide his proceedings in Se.Mu.Aanai.No.Aa.Aa3/58545/2007, dated 22.04.2013.

7.The second respondent passed the impugned order of recovery after a lapse of two years and even without conducting a proper enquiry as per the Forest Act, the petitioner challenged the same before this Court in W.P. (MD)No.8015 of 2013 and prayed for promotion to the post of Assistant Conservator of Forests for the year 2011-2012 and this Court, by order dated 08.07.2015, set aside the order of recovery and if any amount was recovered from the petitioner, the same shall be refunded to him within a period of four months from the date of receipt



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

of a copy of that order.

8. Thereafter, the respondents preferred an appeal against the said order in W.A.(MD)No.1005 of 2016 and this Court, by order dated 09.12.2016 reserved the order and thereafter, dismissed the writ appeal on 22.12.2016. It is pertinent to note here that though the writ petition as well as the writ appeal preferred by the official respondents ended in his favour and, the respondents had not turned to provide promotion to the petitioner to the post of Assistant Conservator of Forests for the year 2011-2012. Therefore, he was constrained sent contempt notice to the respondents in different occasions and as a consequence the first respondent vide G.O.(D)No.119, Environment & Forests (FR-1) Department, dated 15.05.2019, included the name of the petitioner in the panel for the post of Assistant Conservator of Forests for the year 2011-2012 and fixed his seniority by placing his name in Sl.No.14(A) i.e. below the name of one V.Alagumalai, (Sl.No.14) and his name was already deleted and the name of the petitioner was placed above the name of P.V.Ramasthanumoorthy (Sl.No.15).

9. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

first respondent included the name of the petitioner in the appropriate place of the seniority list of the panel to the promotion to the post of Assistant Conservator of Forests for the year 2011-2012 pursuant to the order of this Court and to his shock and surprise, he was not provided with the salary of Assistant Conservator of Forests and enhanced pension. Therefore, he made several representations dated 12.02.2020, 10.06.2020 and 07.10.2020 to the respondents and requested them to pay salary and increment in the cadre of Assistant Conservator of Forests from 2011-2015 on par with his junior namely P.V.Ramasthanumoorthy (Sl.No.15). He further submit that the charges and subsequent recovery order was passed against the petitioner was quash by this Court by order dated as illegal and moreover, as he was promoted to the post of Assistant Conservator of Forests with retrospective effect from the year 2011, the respondents are duty bound to pay full salary and yearly increments in the post of Assistant Conservator of Forests as paid in the case of his Junior namely, P.V.Ramasthanumoorthy (Sl.No. 15) from 30.06.2011.

10. The learned counsel for the petitioner would further submit that the third respondent has passed the present impugned order



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

vide proceedings in Se.Mu.Aa.No. Pal/6531/2018, dated 04.09.2020 and he was issued with the pay fixation in the post of Assistant Conservator of Forests and that will be taken only for pension purpose and no salary for those period will not be paid to the petitioner. He would further submit that as the impugned order passed by the third respondent dated 04.09.2020 in violation of the order of this Court passed in W.P.(MD)No. 8015 of 2013 dated 08.07.2015, he filed a contempt petition before this Court in Cont. Petition (MD) No.468 of 2021. The contempt petition came up for hearing on 30.03.2021. The Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the contemnors therein made his submission before this Court that the officials have passed an order and if the petitioner feel aggrieved he has to challenge the order. Based on the submission made by the Government Advocate, this Court had closed the contempt petition by giving a liberty to the petitioner to challenge the same, if aggrieved.

11. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that since the charge memo and subsequent order of recovery passed by the second respondent vide his proceedings in Se.Mu Aanai.No.Aa.Aa. 3/58545/2007, dated 22.04.2013, was quashed by this Court and



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

subsequently, he had been promoted to the post of Assistant Conservator of Forests vide G.O.(D)No.119, Environment & Forests (FR-I) Department, dated 15.05.2019, he is entitled for all service and monetary benefits in the post of Assistant Conservator of Forests from the period 30.08.2013 to 30.04.2015. Hence, he has approached this Court by way of filing the present writ petition and prays to allow the writ petition.

12. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents has filed a counter-affidavit of the third respondent, wherein it has been stated as follows:-

“8) It is respectfully submitted that the Petitioner Thiru.R. Chellam, Forest Range Officer (Retd..) had filed a writ petition W.P.(MD) No. 8015 of 2013 before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court a prayer call for the records in Principal Chief Conservator of Forests' Proceedings No. BB3/58545/2007 dated 22.04.2013 to quash the same and promote him as Assistant Conservator of Forests with effect from 2011-2012 with all consequential benefits.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

The Hon'ble Madurai bench of Madras high Court in its direction dated 08.07.2015 on the above said writ petition pronounced the following verdict.

"In the result, the writ petition is allowed, the impugned order of recovery passed by the 2nd respondent (Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Chennai) is set aside. It is made clear that if any amount is recovered from the petitioner, the same shall be refunded to him within a period of four months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. failing which, the same shall carry interest at the rate of 12% from the date of order till the date of disbursement. Since the impugned order of recovery has been set aside by this court, the petitioner entitled to all other consequential benefits but for the punishment order, which shall be extended to him notionally, as the petitioner has attained the age of superannuation and notional benefits, if any, shall be calculated and paid to the petitioner



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

within a period of four months from the date of receipt of this order. No cost. Consequently connected miscellaneous petitions are closed".

9) It is submitted that accordingly as per the Hon'ble Madurai bench of Madras high Court Order 08.07.2015, the impugned Principal Chief Conservator of Forests' proceedings No. BB3/58545/2007 dated 22.04.2013 has been revised and an order of cancellation of the said order has been issued vide Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Proceedings No. BB3/4692/2015, dated: 19.07.2018. The Government in G.O(D)No.119 E&F(FR-I) Dept., dated: 15.05.2019, approved the petitioner's name in S.No.14(A) of the approved list for the post of Assistant Conservator of Forests in the Tamil Nadu Forest Service for the year 2011-2012.

10) It is submitted that the pay re-fixation has been done notionally in the Cadre of Assistant Conservator of Forests with



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

effect from 30.09.2011 F.N. on par with date of joining of his Junior by the Chief Conservator of Forests, Tirunelveli vide Proceedings No.E1/6531/2018, dated: 04.09.2020. The re-fixation has been ordered in conformity with the provision of Tamil Nadu Fundamental Rules 27 of 17 which states as follows:-

"(17) In case where a Government servant has been overlooked for promotion/ appointment to the next higher post but subsequently promoted/appointed to that higher post after restoration of his original seniority on appeal, his pay shall be fixed on the date of assumption of charge in the higher post on par with the pay of his junior provided he has drawn the same rate of pay as his junior in the lower post from time to time. If he has not drawn the same rate of pay as his junior in the lower post, his pay shall be fixed, on the date of assumption of charge, at the stage at which he would have drawn pay on that date had he been promoted/appointed to the higher post



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

along with his junior. In cases where seniority has been restored on or after 19th September 1981, arrears of pay and allowance consequent of fixation of pay shall be admissible with effect from the date of assumption of charge in the higher post; in cases where seniority has been restored prior to 19th September 1981, arrears shall be admissible only with effect from the above date".

"Provided that in case of Government Servants whose names were deferred for inclusion in the panel for promotion to higher post due to pendency of charges, but subsequently included in the same panel on exoneration of the charges after the date of their retirement on superannuation on appeal or review, their pay shall be fixed notionally on the date of their retirement on superannuation at the stage at which they would have drawn, had they been promoted or appointed to the higher post along with their junior for the purpose of pension and other monetary terminal benefit;



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

Provided further that in the case of Government Servant whose names were deferred for inclusion in the panel due to pendency of charges and have subsequently died while in service or after retirement from service, the charges shall automatically stand abate. In such cases, the pay shall be fixed notionally on the last date of their service or on the date of the retirement on superannuation, as the case may be, at the stage at which they would have drawn, had they been promoted or appointed to the higher post along with their juniors for the purpose of pension and other monetary terminal benefits".

13. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents would submit that the order passed in W.P.(MD) No. 8015 of 2013, it is clearly stated that the petitioner is entitled to all other consequential benefits but for the punishment order, it shall be extended to the petitioner notionally, as the petitioner has attained the age of superannuation and notional benefits, if any shall be calculated and paid to the petitioner within a period of four months from the date of receipt



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

of the order. the pay re-fixation has been done notionally in the cadre of Assistant Conservator of Forests with effect from 30.09.2011 Forenoon on par with date of joining of his junior by the Chief Conservator of Forests, Tirunelveli vide Proceedings No.E1/6531/2018, dated 04.09.2020. The re-fixation has been ordered in conformity with the provision of Tamil Nadu Fundamental Rules 27 of 17.

14. The learned Government Advocate has also submitted a detailed calculation of the monetary benefits which is settled to the petitioner pursuant to the date of his superannuation on 30.04.2015.

15. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record.

16. The petitioner by placing reliance on the order passed by this Court in W.P.(MD) No. 8015 of 2013 dated 08.07.2015 that he is entitled for all services and monetary benefits right from 30.08.2013 to 30.04.2015 and it is pertinent to extract the relevant portion of the order which reads as follows:-

"In the result, the writ petition is



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

allowed, the impugned order of recovery passed by the 2nd respondent (Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Chennai) is set aside. It is made clear that if any amount is recovered from the petitioner, the same shall be refunded to him within a period of four months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. failing which, the same shall carry interest at the rate of 12% from the date of order till the date of disbursement. Since the impugned order of recovery has been set aside by this court, the petitioner entitled to all other consequential benefits but for the punishment order, which shall be extended to him notionally, as the petitioner has attained the age of superannuation and notional benefits, if any, shall be calculated and paid to the petitioner within a period of four months from the date of receipt of this order. No cost. Consequently connected miscellaneous petitions are closed".

In the aforesaid order, it is very clear that the petitioner is entitled only for notional benefits and the same shall be extended to him notionally as the petitioner has attained the age of superannuation.



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

WEB COPY

17. Hence, the petitioner cannot claim for all service and monetary benefits in the post of the Assistant Conservator of Forests when the orders passed by this Court clearly states that the petitioner is entitled only for notional benefits since he has attained the age of superannuation. The petitioner got superannuation on 30.04.2015 and the pensionary benefits were paid to him from 01.05.2015 as per details of payments furnished by the learned Government Advocate and the same is extracted hereunder:-



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

WEB COPY

OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A&E), TAMILNADU, CHENNAI
(Admissibility Report of Entitlements of Pensionary Benefits of retiring employees)

BY REGISTERED POST
Dated 30/05/16

LETTER P21 /2115301 /2 /R2113901
DIRECTOR FOREST OFFICE, MADURAI DISTRICT (N.),
MADURAI DIST 628101

on the title of Pensionary Benefits of CHELLAM R
Retiring/Retired on 30/04/15 FOREST RANGE OFFICER
Letter No. H1/3014/2014 (NO SR) 21/03/16

In reference to your letter cited, I am to inform you that the following pensionary benefits are found admissible with effect from 01/05/15 p.m.
Commuted Pension of Rs. 11730
Commuted Gratuity of Rs. 1000000
Commuted Rate of Family Pension of Rs. 11730 p.m. upto 19/04/22
Rate of Family Pension of Rs. 8838 p.m. thereafter -
Commuted Value of 1/3rd or 40% of Pension Rs. 4910 which works out to 9820
193220 in lumpsum. The pension after commutation will be Rs.
The benefits as above are with reference to TNPR 1978 Pension Scheme to staff of Non-Government Educational Institutions / ASOCRB Rules
and to the

Net Qualifying Service: Years 36 Months 1 Days 17
Average Emoluments Rs. 21360 S.D. P.P. G.P5100
Emoluments last drawn Rs.
Payments are being made/will be made for authorising payment of pension / Commuted value of
Treasury as desired by the individual.
NAGERCOIL
NAGERCOIL
NAGERCOIL
The amount as above represent the revised benefits consequent on change in Qualifying Service/Emoluments drawn. The pensionary benefits as
already as given below will be adjusted from out of the revised benefits now admitted.

08/05/2016

Pension : Rs. 34730 p.m. w.e.f.
D.D.R.G : Rs. 801057
Commuted Value : Rs. 103320

The re-employment pay may be regulated as follows:
To As Rs. p.m.
To As Rs. p.m.



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

WEB COPY

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A&E), TAMILNADU, CHENNAI
(Admissibility Report of Entitlements of Pensionary Benefits of retiring employees)

BY REGISTERED POST
Dated 20/10/20

No. AG(A&E)/PEN/201 / 1212M63 / 4 / R2113901

To DISTRICT MANSAR OFFICER, THOOTHUKUDI MANSAR LN.,
THOOTHUKUDI DIST 628101

Sit Sub: Report on the title of Pensionary Benefits of CHELIAM R.
Shri/Smt/Kum Retiring/Retired on 30/04/15 ASSISTANT CONSERVATOR OF
DOB 20/04/57 dated 15/09/20
Ref: Your Letter No. P1/3014/2014

With reference to your letter cited, I am to inform you that the following pensionary/revised pensionary benefits are found admissible with effect from 01/05/15 p.m.

(i) Superannuation/Retiring/Invalid Pension of Rs. 15340 p.m.
(ii) Retirement Gratuity of Rs. 1000000 p.m. upto 19/04/22
(iii) Enhanced Rate of Family Pension of Rs. 15340 p.m. thereafter -
or for 7/10 Yrs. from the date following the date of death whichever is earlier which works out to:
and normal rate of Family Pension of Rs. 9204 p.m. thereafter -
(iv) Commuted Value of 1/3rd or 40% of Pension Rs. 5113
Rs. 513612 in lumpsum. The Pension after commutation will be Rs. 10227

2. The benefits as above are with reference to TNPR 1978/Pension Scheme to staff of Non-Government Educational Institutions/AISDCED based on the LEVIA. NO.

(i) Net Qualifying Service: 36 Years 1 Month 17 Days
(ii) Average Emoluments : Rs.
(iii) Emoluments last drawn Rs. 30680

Arrangements are being made/will be made for authorising payment of Pension/Commuted Value of Pension at NAGERCOIL Treasury as desired by the individual.
The gratuity payment order will be issued to the Departmental Officer concerned. NAGERCOIL

3. The amounts as above represent the revised benefits consequent on the change in Qualifying Service/Emoluments drawn. The pensionary benefits already as given below will be adjusted from out of the revised benefits now admitted. 01/05/2015

(i) Pension : Rs. 14730 p.m. w.a.f.
(ii) D.C.R.G. : Rs. 1000000
(iii) Commuted Value : Rs. 493220

4. The re-employment pay may be regulated as follows:

(i) From	To	At Rs.	p.m.
(ii) From	To	At Rs.	p.m.

Cpy to:
Remd: CHELIAM R.
6/24A, SERUPAI VILAGAM
ERAMPULI, PEECHAMPURAM PO
KULACHEL, TIRUTHAKKURAI DIST



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

WEB COPY

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A&E), TAMILNADU, CHENNAI
(Admissibility Report of Entitlements of Pensionary Benefits of retiring employees)

No. AG(A&E)/PEN 221 / 12125315 / 3 / R2113901
CT 27156/31314
cheilam
298
667645
BY REGISTERED POST
Dated 30/04/22

To DISTRICT FOREST OFFICER, TUTICORIN FOREST DIVISION,
TUTICORIN DISTRICT- 620101

Sir,
Sub: Report on the title Pensionary Benefits of CHELLAM R
Retiring/Retired on 30/04/15 ASSISTANT CONSERVATOR OF
dated 26/05/22
Ref: Your letter No. B1/4277/2020
14 OCT 2022

With reference to your letter cited, I am to inform you that the following pensionary/revised pensionary benefits are found admissible with effect from 01/11/20 p.m.

(i) Pension on Retirement/Invalid Pension of Rs. 15915 p.m.
(ii) Retirement Gratuity of Rs. 1000000 p.m. upto
(iii) Enhanced Rate of Family Pension of Rs. 0 p.m. thereafter -
or for 7/10 Yrs. from the date following the date of death whichever is earlier which works out to
(iv) Commuted Value of 1/3rd or 40% of Pension Rs. 9548 p.m.
Rs. 531727 in lumpsum. The Pension after commutation will be Rs. 10610

2. The benefits as above are with reference to TNPR 1978 Pension Scheme to staff of Non-Government Educational Institutions/AISIE (R) Rules based on the LEVEL NO.

(i) Net Qualifying Service: Years 36 Months 1 Days 17
(ii) Average Emoluments : Rs.
(iii) Emoluments last drawn: Rs. 31830

Arrangements are being made/will be made for authorising payment of Pension/Commuted Value of Pension at NAGERCOIL Treasury as desired by the individual.
The gratuity payment order will be issued to the Departmental Officer concerned.
NAGERCOIL

3. The amount as above represent the revised benefits consequent on the change in Qualifying Service/Emoluments drawn. The pensionary benefits paid already as given below will be adjusted from out of the revised benefits now admitted.

01-MAY-15

(i) Pension : Rs. 15340 p.m. w.o.f.
(ii) D.C.R.G. : Rs. 1000000
(iii) Commuted Value : Rs. 513612

4. The employment pay may be regulated as follows :
CHELLAM R To At Rs. p.m.
(i) From 60A-12/2, GANDHI NAGAR 1 ST STREET. p.m.
(ii) From PARVATHIPURAM, NAGERCOIL
Remarks KANNIYAKUMARI DIST



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

WEB COPY

MONTH	DAY(S)	Prescribed Fees	BA	No.	Total	DEBIT		CASH	No.	AMOUNT	BATCH	No.	DATE	REMARKS
						33F	33B							
May-2016	11%	14732	18945	100	11425	35	10	95	1075	2435	11545	1021-11	14.5.2016	
Jan-2017	112%	14334	18945	100	11425	35	10	23	1075	1473	11242	1071-16	19.07.2017	
Feb-2017	118%	14728	18945	100	11425	35	10	73	1075	1155	11545	10512-16	11.08.2017	
April-2017	122%	14750	18945	100	11425	35	10	20	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	20.08.2017	
September 2017	1170%	14700	18945	100	11425	35	10	24	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	11.08.2017	
B/L From 1st July 15 to Sep-15		0	247	7	240	0	7	7	240	1021	1055	10515-16	12.11.2017	
October-2017	140%	14200	18945	100	11425	35	10	23	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	11.08.2017	
November-2017	119%	14710	18945	100	11425	35	10	27	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	11.08.2017	
December-2017	149%	14710	18945	100	11425	35	10	23	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	11.08.2017	
January-2018	112%	14341	18945	100	11425	35	10	19	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	11.08.2017	
February-2018	119%	14710	18945	100	11425	35	10	23	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	11.08.2017	
March-2018	119%	14710	18945	100	11425	35	10	23	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	11.08.2017	
April-2018	119%	14710	18945	100	11425	35	10	23	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	11.08.2017	

MONTH	DAY(S)	Prescribed Fees	BA	No.	Total	DEBIT		CASH	No.	AMOUNT	BATCH	No.	DATE	REMARKS
						33F	33B							
1st April to 31st Jan-19		0	247	7	240	0	7	7	240	1021	1055	10515-16	12.11.2017	
May-2016	128%	14732	18945	100	11425	35	10	23	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	11.08.2017	
Jan 2016 to Nov 2016	117% & 132%	18250	11531	100	20481	35	10	18	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	11.08.2017	
December-2016	132%	14732	18945	100	11425	35	10	18	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	11.08.2017	
January-2017 to Feb-17	139%	24600	41000	200	18720	65	10	60	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	11.08.2017	
March to Feb-17	136%	74050	100745	500	13075	101	10	110	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	11.08.2017	
Apr-2017	150%	14732	18945	100	11425	35	10	23	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	11.08.2017	
TOTAL		415410	500070	2000	501120	254	110	440	1075	1125	1125	11515-16	11.08.2017	

Verified and found correct.

ADDL. TREASURY OFFICER
THIRUTHUKUDI

24/11/2017

Deputy Forest Officer
Thiruthukudi District
Thiruthukudi



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

WEB COPY

திரு.ரா.செல்லம், உதவி வனப்பாதுகாவலர் (ஓய்வு) என்பவருக்கு வழங்கப்பட்ட தொகை விபரம்

01.05.2015	31.05.2016	தற்காலிக ஓய்வூதியம் (வனச்சரக அலுவலர் நிலையில்)	419445	சுருஷ்யம், தூத்துக்குடி
------------	------------	---	--------	----------------------------

மாநில கணக்காயர், சென்னை கடிதம் எண் P21/12115304/2/R2113901 நாள் 30.05.2016ன்படி ஓய்வூதியம் ஒப்பளிப்பு செய்து எரப்பெற்றது. நிதிமன்றத்தில் வழக்கு மேல்முறையீடு செய்யப்பட்டதால் மீண்டும் தற்காலிக ஓய்வூதியம் தொடர்ந்து வழங்கப்பட்டது.

01.06.2016	31.08.2017	தற்காலிக ஓய்வூதியம் (வனச்சரக அலுவலர் நிலையில்)	515225	சுருஷ்யம், தூத்துக்குடி
------------	------------	---	--------	----------------------------

மாண்புமிகு சென்னை உயர்நீதிமன்ற மதுரை கிளை வழக்கு எண் W.A (MD). No. 1005 of 2016 C.M.P (MD) No. 6078 of 2016 நாள் 23.12.2016 ன்படி மாநில கணக்காயர், சென்னை கடிதம் எண் P21/12115304/2/R2113901 நாள் 30.05.2018ன்படி ஓய்வூதியம் வழங்கப்பட்டது.


01.05.2015		ஓய்வூதியம் (வனச்சரக அலுவலர் நிலையில்)	Pension amount 14730 + DA 16645	சுருஷ்யம், நாகர்கோவில்
------------	--	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------

மாநில கணக்காயர், சென்னை கடிதம் எண் P21/12123463/1/R2113901 நாள் 30.05.2016ன்படி ஓய்வூதியம்

01.05.2015		திருத்திய ஓய்வூதியம் (உதவி வனப்பாதுகாவலர் நிலையில்)	Pension amount 15340 + DA 17334	சுருஷ்யம், நாகர்கோவில்
------------	--	--	---------------------------------------	---------------------------

மாநில கணக்காயர், சென்னை கடிதம் எண் P21/12123319/3/R2113901 நாள் 30.08.2022ன்படி ஓய்வூதியம்

01.05.2015	இன்று வரை	திருத்திய ஓய்வூதியம் (உதவி வனப்பாதுகாவலர் நிலையில்)	Pension amount 15915 + DA	சுருஷ்யம், நாகர்கோவில்
------------	-----------	--	------------------------------	---------------------------



18. Hence, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioner is not entitled for salary, increment and other monetary benefits of Assistant Conservator of Forests from 30.09.2011 to 30.04.2015 since this Court in W.P (MD) No.8015 of 2013 dated 30.04.2015 has granted only notional benefits and the petitioner has attained the age of superannuation on 30.04.2015.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

19. In the result, the writ petition stands disposed of with the above observations. No costs.

30.10.2024

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

PKN

To

1.The Secretary to Government,
State of Tamil Nadu,
Environment and Forests Department,
Secretariat, Chennai 9.

2.The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests,
Jeenis Building,
Panagal Maligai, Saidapet,
Chennai 600 015.

3.The Chief Conservator of Forests,
Tirunelveli Forest Circle,
NGO Colony,
Tirunelveli, Tirunelveli District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

J.SATHYA NARAYANA PRASAD, J.

PKN

W.P.(MD)No.18269 of 2022

30.10.2024